

Vintologi

The fundamental beliefs in vintologi are:

- The fundamental laws of nature are universal.
- consciousness has no first beginning or final end.
- there is only one universe.
- free will $\equiv$ quantum indeterminism (def).

There is no absolute morality in vintologi, only personal preferences humans have.

Darwinian vintologi is about cultural progress, space colonization and social darwinism.

Might is right

How do you determine which preferences that will be satisfied when there is no absolute morality?

well it is pretty simple [0](#) [1](#) [2](#)



With brute force(extreme violence) and propaganda you will be able to impose your preferences upon others, might is right.

While ordinary citizens get imprisoned for murder, robbery and theft the rulers can do the same crimes in massive numbers without any consequences. Superiority and inferiority can only be decided by battle.

By having superior might you can enforce any morals into the masses and they will happily accept them no matter how obvious the contradictions are. Popular lies have ever been the most potent enemies of personal liberty.

Only slaves are born into contracts, the most important freedom is the freedom to dominate the unfit, survival of the fittest is the law of this world. An inherently strong person becomes weak and destructive by following false morals and reason. It is not possible to gain true power without using methods currently viewed as unethical. Christianity is a religion for slaves.

Death and destruction are necessary for the health of the world, and therefore are as natural, and lovable, as birth and life. Only priests and born cowards moan and weep over dying. Brave men face it with approving nonchalance.

Laws and rules imposed on you, from days of old renown, are not intended for your “good” but for your crushing down. Then dare to rend the chains that bind, and to yourself be true. Dare to liberate your mind, from all things, old and new.

Equality can only exist between equals. Civilization implies division of labor, division of labor implies subordination and subordination implies injustice and inequality. Government is founded on property. Property is founded on conquest. Conquest is founded on power. All power is founded on brain and brawn.

The rules of life are not to be found in Korans, Bibles, Decalogues and Constitutions, but rather the rules of decadence and death. The “law of laws” is not written in Hebrew consonants or upon tables of brass and stone, but in every man’s own heart. He who obeys any standard of right and wrong, but the one set up by his own conscience, betrays himself into the hands of his enemies, who are ever laying in wait to bind him to their millstones. And generally a man’s most dangerous enemies are his neighbors.

The lie that is known to be a lie is half eradicated, but the lie that even intelligent persons regard as a sacred fact – the lie that has been inculcated around a mother’s knee – is more dangerous to contend against than a creeping pestilence.

The natural world is a world of war; the natural man is a warrior; the natural law is tooth and claw. All else is error. A condition of combat everywhere exists. We are born into perpetual conflict. It is our inheritance, even as it was the heritage of previous generations.

Take from the peasantry even an infinitesimal proportion of their petty property, and they will lynch you as a lazy thievish tramp; but two-thirds of their harvests by law and rule (tax) and they will turn out in the middle of the night, to cheer you in your steam-horsed palace car, as it whirls through their ‘God forsaken’ villages.

Decision making and consequences

Your decisions always have consequences, these can sometimes be difficult to know. Making good decisions itself isn’t free, when you spend time trying to figure out the rational endeavor you lose time that could have been used to benefit you in other ways. High intelligence makes it a lot easier to arrive at the correct conclusion during a given time and allows you to influence the future according to your preferences.

You will have to accept that you are wrong sometimes, eventually it will be cured with death or by changing your mind.

Karma

Your decision now will also affect future lives, not just your current life.

There is no individual karma in vintologi, instead your kind will be punished/rewarded in future lives.

If you fail to stop communism/leftism you will suffer from it in future lives(we all suffer from that now). If you fail to stop christ insanity and the bad things that comes from that you may end up living with a mutilated penis in the next life(luckily circumcision isn't common in Europe).

Being born with good genetics is the most important part [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#)

The punishment of having bad genes can be really horrible, if you are an ugly male almost all females will reject you, ugly females can still get males but not the best. There is many truly horrible genetic disorders that we should try to eliminate completely. Some disorders such as OCD can be cured but it will be painful and difficult, it ruins many peoples lives.

Being an ugly male is no fun [7](#) [8](#) luckily females will reject most of these males resulting in a more beautiful population in the future.

When you reincarnate you go from a matured brain to a young brain that has limited capacity, thus you will most likely end up with a more powerful brain the next life if these brains are available. When you increase the amount of people with similar genetics as you will increase your chance of ending up with a better brain next life.

If the amount of new children being born if your genetic type is low you will be unlikely to reincarnate into a better brain unless you reincarnate into a different genetic type. If you do reincarnate into as a different race you are more likely to end up with an abnormal brain of said race.

The quality if your future life is also to a large part determined by actions and characteristics of other people. Traits such as high intelligence tend to also benefit other people and not just the individual with the trait, thus you need to look at the impact on society as a whole and not just the individual.

Genetic preferences

Factor1: does the gene provide a survival & reproduction advantage?

Factor2: do you want other people to be born with said gene?

Factor3: do you want yourself to be born with said gene?

All these factors are heavily dependent on environment and our environment comes down to decisions made by humans, the actions you take now will affect the future of humanity.

factor4: what other genes will you be born with?

Homo sapiens superior

The goal in darwinian vintologi is to create a new races/species. "homo sapiens superior" will have the following characteristics

eye color: green or blue (less important).

hair: red or blonde (less important).

average iq: 125

average penis size: 250 cm³

breastsize: D-cup (average).

executive functions: top 10% (no adhd).
beauty: more sexually attractive than any current ethnicity.
body length: 190cm (average).
empathy and altruism: low
common mutations: hDEC2, CCR5, ACTN3

[9](#) [10](#) [11](#) [12](#) [13](#) [14](#) [15](#)

If females prefer a certain male trait that trait is likely to be more common simply due to female preference, it does not have to be advantageous for survival. Humans have genetic preferences and these preferences is similar for most humans(all races), thus homo sapiens may emerge as a single race rather than multiple races.

Sexual selection

The current sexual selection is mostly bases on genetic factors such as looks [16](#) [17](#) [18](#) this is due to several key factors, females no longer need male resources due to the welfare state and females entering the work force. The sexual liberation has resulted in females having a lot more options while males have fewer options.



Intelligent males will be more likely to reproduce [19](#) good looking people tend to be more intelligent and thus female selection based on appearance will result in humans becoming more intelligent, other selection criteria such as money and status also depend on intelligence.

As the bodies of males become more attractive due to female sexual selection the male body will become less and less important when it comes to female sexual selection and other factors such as intelligence and social status will become increasingly important. Different individual select their partners differently but we can still see some general patterns such as females loving good looking male psychopaths.


It is very unlikely a female will be monogamous with an unattractive male, some guys might be lucky enough to finding a female willing to waste herself with a loser but for the most part unattractive males will remain single or in very short term relationships. Being rich might attract certain types of females even if you are ugly but these females will quickly 'fall out of love' if you stop being successful.

Females have a tendency to reject males of their own race in favor for other males they find more attractive this is especially true for japanese females that reject their unattractive males in favor of caucasians despite the fact that whites males have lower average iq. The only reason white females does not racemix more than they do is because white males are at the top of the sexual marketplace among males, it is mostly unattractive white females that mix with other races.


Returning to polygamy

Without enforced monogamy the most successful/attractive males will have multiple wives, this will lead to a lot harder competition for females and a less stable society which is a good thing. A less stable society will be needed for racial improvements and hence stability isn't desirable. With shortage of females the men will be forced to take larger risks and the stupid cowards will be eliminated from the gene pool. Polygamy allows most males to leave the society while maintaining population growth.


regulated sexuality



today



polygamy



The disadvantage with polygamy is that it decreases the evolutionary pressure on females due to the shortage of them, there exist no humane solutions for this but one inhumane solution is to draft stupid/ugly females to dangerous wars, this is the real advantage with female draft.

Without polygamy, it will only be possible for a man to impregnate a female once a year which isn't desirable. The best example of Polygamy is Charles Lindbergh. Polygamy will also make it possible for a female to find begin a relationship with a more attractive male(all females will benefit from it due to less completion for good males).

Males living in a polygamous society will often have to leave the society in order to find even one partner, the result will be a gene-flow away from the polygamous society into other societies. The less monogamous a society is the greater the gene-flow will be to other societies that willingly or unwillingly (war and rape) end up with some of the male surplus created.

It is a matter of preference if you prefer to have a monogamous relationship or several partners. The emotional benefit for the male with more than one wife is that it will be emotionally easier for him if a wife divorce him(more than 50% of marriages end up in divorce) since he will be less emotionally attached to each woman. The females will get the emotional benefit of being with a very good man and a lot of females prefer sharing a good man over being alone with an unattractive man.

Supporting polygamy is a sensible thing to do because men already like having many partners, it enhances personal freedom, helps to prevent or minimize adultery, is an integral part of some culture, was practiced by great men of Christianity and Islam and other religions, helps to decrease the number of single women in society, helps men with leadership ability, it indicates that men are humanitarian, and it is a normal human practice.

The media wants us to believe that humans are naturally monogamous. We are not. Men have always been intended to be polygamist, while women are what their men make them, which is monogamous. The basic concept of marriage is that the man invests in the family in return for the sexual fidelity of

his wife. This way, the man can invest in kids who he knows are his. It is well-known that women prefer men who are successful with other women. The evolutionary benefits are obvious. A man who has the opportunity to spread his genes to many women will probably produce sons with the same opportunity, thereby also spreading the mother's genes. This would indicate that women would actually prefer to marry men who can have sex with other women.

So why do western women insist on the opposite? western women do not want a good man. They are taught that they should dominate and control their men, a position which women were never intended to fill. If the husband agrees and commits to sexual fidelity, then he has shown that he is weak and has failed the test. By committing to sexual fidelity, he is showing that he has no backbone and will give in to his wife on all issues. If his wife believes her husband's commitment, she will view him as a doormat and will soon find him boring and lose interest in having sex with him. If she doesn't believe his commitment, she will consider him a lying bastard and the marriage will be full of drama until it finally falls apart. Either way, the husband loses. By refusing to commit to sexual fidelity, the husband passes the shit test. The wife will then feel that she still needs to compete with other women to hold on to her husband, so she will continue to be sexually attracted to him.

The demand for females will always be much greater than the supply in a typical society even if polygamy isn't common, this often leads to gynocentrism/feminism when females are allowed to choose their husbands. Males in a country turning into substitutes such as porn, gay sex and prostitutes does not resolve the issue since females have the opportunity to mate with people outside the country, especially attractive females which we want to keep in the gene pool.

A good male will by definition not accept the current horrible marriage deal where the female gets all the power and the male will be punished hard during a breakup, often marriages are sexless and only a way for females to get economic security, these psychopath females are suitable for mating. There is nothing wrong with cuckolding pathetic males [3](#)

Cuckoldry

One clever strategy for a female is to use one as provider and another man as fucker/sperm donor, she will then get the best of both worlds. With the current laws the paternity will go to the husband and not the biological father, he will most likely end up paying child support for a child that isn't his.

Cheating is natural and it allows for quicker genetic improvements of the population without abandoning social monogamy. Social monogamy combined with sexual polygamy results in the child both having a good genes and a father figure that has a lot of time to spend with children he foolishly thinks is his, most males can be shamed into not demanding any paternity test.

Cuckoldry can be done via deception or openly, it's generally better if he doesn't know but this typically requires that she is willing to have sex with the loser and also hides the fact that she is cheating on him.

Why you should try to reproduce

Most people are biologically driven to actually reproduce, not just to have sex. This is one of the reasons why most incels are willing to go trans even though they have the option of banking their own sperm.

You need to have goals in life you have a decent shot to achieve to be happy, maximizing your reproductive success will fall in line with your biological imperative. In addition to finding females willing to have children with you why not try to support your children to maximize their reproductive success too?

Most people that shouldn't reproduce lack the mental abilities to properly access their own genetics, thus we cannot rely to voluntary negative eugenics. You need a lot of intelligence and self awareness to properly evaluate yourself genetically and if you can do that you reproducing is probably a good idea, you may however want to look into whether you can make any enhancements with technology (embryo selection, crispr, etc).

How big families benefit children

Some parents convince themselves that over-parenting a few kids is better than having a lot of children that they do not care too much about, the reality is of course the opposite. You may want your children to accomplish stuff you did not accomplish but if you only have 1 or 2 kids that will be problematic, they may not want to do what you have planned for them and this is a source for conflict.

If you have many children they will be free to do what suits them and it will still be likely that some of them follows your plan. You may want one or your children to take a higher education but not everyone is suited for that(i would recommend taking a short stem degree if it's free or low cost).


Parenting and schooling in general are simply indoctrination and control, it often involves punishing children despite not hurting anyone. Fortunately children is more influenced by their genes and general environment than their parents, as a parent you are simply at the mercy of the genetics of your child.

If a child have many siblings he/she will have a bigger support network in life, blood relatives are a lot more reliable than your 'friends', this network will be far more valuable than additional time with parents even if the parenting is ideal.

How we should view children

Children are the future of humanity. By taking care of children we take care of the future, by taking care of your own children you secure a future for your genetic liniage. Dying childless is the ultimate failure.

Children do have smaller brains and less knowledge(in general) than adults, their souls is also a lot more pure, they have become less corrupted by society. One current view is that children needs to be looked after and controlled by their parents until they are 18 or even higher(in Sweden the state can decide to take 'care' over you until you are 21).



Young people may seem to be rebellious sometimes but often they are only taken the values we teached them and applies them in a more radical manner. Young people are not yet indoctrinated into a particular belief system and thus they a suitable target if you have a particular agenda, you just have to wait until they are able to vote before you see results (when they are finally able to vote).

The father is not really needed [20](#) [21](#) having good genes is a lot more important.

While adults generally have better cognitive abilities than children the difference is much smaller than what most adults believe, there is no shortage of kids that are a lot smarter than 99% of the adults. From the chart above we see that male children at the age 8 has larger brains than adult females, females in general should be viewed as brain-polluted children.

Early sex and pair bonding

It is natural for females to have sex after puberty, waiting with sex and pregnancy can result in mental issues. When males are legally banned from having sex with young girls it will be more difficult for young girls to have sex and get pregnant with a high quality male early. Females have a lot shorter biological window for sex than males and the earlier a females start to have sex without contraceptives the more children she will be able to have.



Females are generally mentally capable of sexual selection from very early age [22](#)

Rape and forced marriages

By using might you can force a female to have sex and get pregnant by a different male of your choice (such as yourself) instead of letting her make the decision, whether or not it's dysgenic will depend the decision you make and how you define good genes.

Rape is dysgenic when it allows males with undesirable genetics to make females pregnant, if a male has top tier genes he will not have to rape females in the first place.

If a female is reduced to property of a male that already have success with free females the likely result is instead more sexual inequality and potentially resulting in stronger eugenic effect due to human selections. You can have a lot of fun with a female that you own as property since you do not have to consider her preferences when it comes to sexual activities.

Assume we have two tribes, one with strong(genetically superior) males and another with weak(inferior) males. If the tribe with strong males kill the weak males in the other tribe and rape the women the following will happen

1. The weak men from the other tribe will die and reincarnate
2. the females will experience being raped and humiliated
3. the children born will be genetically better.
4. In the upcoming lives everyone will benefit by having better genes.

Some females develop Stockholm Syndrome after Rape or general brutal domination. Some females even [state](#) that they enjoyed being raped, other females describe it as something horrible and end up with mental issues because of it.

Today females is protected by the might of governments which needs to be crushed before they can be raped on a major scale, rape is a useful tool during war but it's not a viable option to spread your genes in a modern civilized society. Abortion is now an option in the case of a pregnancy.

Females will avoid undesirable males. Most rape in the legal sense consists of a male she has already approved of forcing a her into a sexual activity she do not want to do at the time, this will make the relationship more exiting.

Females often want to exchange [sex for resources](#), in order to provide for the children, if a man rapes a woman she might get good genes but the man will not have to provide any resources. If a female is married against her will she will (sex slave) the man will still provide for her.

Restoring male authority

The people with the abilities requires to properly rule our planet are mostly male, elite females are at a general disadvantages compared to elite males. As we gain political power we can archive our goals.

-lower the age of consent to 13 or lower for all sexual activities.


-reducing some females to our property.

-child support shall only be based on which male she is married to or marries first after conceiving the child.

-in rape cases the attractiveness and importance of the male shall be taken into account.

-we may want to force females with good genes to have children(donating eggs is an alternative).

-it should be legal to directly buy or sell sex.



A soft patriarchy is simply a minority or wealthy males controlling the society and having power over the females via money or by being very attractive in other ways. Males will have to compete against males for females and it will be a lot more difficult for males to procreate, only the best among males will be given much freedom when it comes to partner selection.

Hard patriarchy does however have the disadvantage of not eliminating unattractive males for the gene pool, when males are in total control the only sexual selection will be against females resulting in unattractive females being discarded while unattractive males will be able to spread there genes simply by just buying females. Hard patriarchy in the west any time soon is unlikely but we are already seeing it with ISIS that kills the males and use females as sex slaves.

Currently most males are very unattractive and thus we have to wait several generations(of female selection) before returning to hard patriarchy is a good idea.

The only reason females stayed with unattractive males before was because cultural expectations [23](#) and lack of government support for single mothers, now there is no turning back and we do not even want to try going back to a traditionalist society.

Government spending

We need to spend money on things that actually advances our society and humanity as a whole (long term). We shouldn't waste tax-money keeping useless people alive. Good things to spend money on are

0. public education (government media, free online education, scholarships to talented individuals, free elementary education).

1. military (nuclear weapons, fighter jets, etc).

2. research on bio-technology such as genetic engineering.

3. space missions.

4. support teen parents (19 or younger).

5. infrastructure.

6. support for adult parents (20+)

7. buying up patents and letting everyone in our country use these for free.

8. police

9. support females below 30 directly financially (trans* and cis)

10. giving universal basic income and legal protections to important citizens.

*only people who medically transition will count as transgender.

Government funding

The main source of income to a government tend to be taxes paid by it's citizens, people are essentially being extorted into supporting the system. Other ways to fund the government is via monetary policies and by extracting natural resources.

The issue with funding the government via taxes is that people will naturally avoid paying these taxes, it will create an insentive against doing the things that will result in having to pay taxes, this is a good thing if we tax stuff such as carbon emissions but its problematic when we end up having to tax work.

It's theoretically possible to fund a government by extorting other nations and sending nukes to them if they refuse to pay, you can also invade other countries and later force them to pay war fines for resisting your invasion. We can force other countries to implement carbon taxes and give all money to us, they will also have to pay fines if they ruin our environment in other ways.

Pure elite rule

An elite taking control over a country will be able to enjoy abusing their powers in many ways. If the elite takes power ruthlessly they are also likely to rule ruthlessly. The ruling elite will divide the world population into the following classes.

A the ruling elite (9 to 999 senators).

B selected by the ruling elite.

C ordinary citizens or tourists/guestworkers.

D Slaves.

E non-citizens not allowed within the border

F military targets.

The ruling elite may consist of 35 senators that have unlimited power, in order to make a decision instantly 18 senators have to approve, at least 20 shall be ready to instantly make a decision. All 35 senators must be reachable all hours of the day, if 18 senators cannot instantly agree to a decision senators asleep may have to be waken up. The senate will have the highest judicial, legislative and executive power and each senator select his own successors. For N senators we get

A0: senator 0

An: senator n

An+N: first successor to senator n

An+2N: second successor to senator n

An+mN: successor m to senator n.

B0: cabinet member.

B1: official advisor to at least one senator.

B2: members of parliament.

B3: approved for jury duty.

C0 full citizenship.

C1 permanent residence.

C2 temporary residence.

D0 free slave, have to pay an additional amount of tax each month to the state.

D1 slave you have to threat well (owned by C0 or higher).

D2 slave not allowed to be killed or very seriously injure.

D3 slave with no rights.

E0 very limited legal protections.

E1 No legal protections.

F0 low value military target

F_n military target of value $\{F_0 \text{ value}\} * 10^{(n/10)}$

All senators will carry secure phone with them when they are not working, the secure phones will allow them to quickly make a decision in the case of an emergency such as nuclear war scenario. A senator will work at least 14 hours per day, each senator will have a personal staff (successors and B0 citizens) that helps him with research.

The senators are supposed to have sex daily to impregnate a lot of females, this will result in each senators having many children to choose from when it comes to appointing a successor. A senators may also select a successor that isn't a biological child of his.

Your citizen-class will determine which weapons you are allowed to possess and carry, non-citizens are not allowed to carry any weapons, C0 will be allowed to keep and bear light arms(no license required) while B will be allowed to keep and bear very heavy weapons

The C0 citizens will vote every 4 years to elect representatives for parliament (B2 citizens), the parliament will draft legislation and submit it to the senate for approval.

You become a B3-citizen by passing a test where you have to show you understand our laws. Once you are a B3-citizen you may be selected to serve in the jury if someone is accused of a crime. The defendant and prosecutor can ask a jury members to appeal the verdict if they are not happy with it.

The senate will appoint cabinet members B0 for the executive branch of the government.

About government power

The state is just people using violence to enforce their will and it is mostly boring today, the alternative is smaller entities such as polygamous families where the husband can abuse his wives.

Governments tend to target the strong and capable and lifting up the unfit, thus people not deserving any power such as Adolf Hitler will get it while capable people end up jailed, killed or as productive tax slaves. Fighting against the might of the government is often futile, you simply have to rely on people with authority not screwing you up jailed for life or executed, if you survive one execution attempt they will just try to kill you again until you are finally dead.

Not everyone is suitable to live in a free society but the ones ill suited for that will be eliminated from the gene pool and their suffering will come to an end. If you keep the unfit alive by artificial means you will end up with more and more failure and suffering until the entire society fails. The freedom to fail is a very important freedom, nothing good comes from trying to protect people from their own stupidity. If someone wants to kill himself or destroy the brain with drugs, let him to it.

In a free society you will be able to live your life according to your preference. Currently our society isn't free at all, we get imprisoned for breaking laws and many of these crimes lacks victims. A lot of innocent males correctly gets imprisoned for sex crimes(age of consent and rape laws) and a lot of people get their children stolen from them by the state despite being good parents.

We do need good leaders but giving any entity the monopoly of violence democratic or not is very dangerous. Hundreds of millions of people have been killed by their own governments and many more has been imprisoned or suffered from other forms of severe abuse.

People have different preferences and we will never be able to make everybody happy. We can however make most people satisfied via the free market and a free society in general, there will still be failure and suffering but that is short term. The free market is vastly superior any government allocating resources correctly [26](#) [27](#)

Punishing problematic people

Locking up criminals in jails cost a lot of money and will cause a lot of suffering for the ones locked up. Instead most people committing crimes should be fined but if the individual couldn't pay or if the crime was serious a more brutal punishment will be given.

The punishment given will depend on several factors such as gender and physical attractiveness where attractive females and important males are given much more lenient punishment while unattractive males get very brutal punishments.

The harshest punishment a fertile female of high quality can face shall be being reduced to D0 citizen. Females should in general be given a much more lenient sentence for the same crime.

Subhumans causing problems can end up facing very brutal punishments such as being killed for meat/organs or having to enter into a gladiator tournament where only 1 of 16 can survive (the winner).

We may also want to use some individuals for medical experiments.

Gladiator games

People we dislike can provide entertainment value in the form of gladiator games. We watching in delight as they fight for their lives, the crowd sheering as another human is beaten to death.

They either die and reincarnate or they survive a fun adventure.

A person we(the ruling elite) dislike will need up to 4 wins to be permitted to live, they will be paired up with other individuals that needs the same numbers of wins. You may simply give up and let the other contestant kill you but if both are unwilling to kill they will both get executed.

We do not want to kill too many people in these gladiator arenas but if it is less than 25% of the population it is a good thing, the fit will be able to survive in the gladiator arena while the weaklings die(in general, you may be lucky or unlucky).

I am against penitentiaries and executions, instead we should give all people a fair chance to survive and be free. Jails will only be used to hold the contestants before/between the fights. We can of course also have duels to the death in an anarchy but they will not be very common without a ruling elite forcing people to kill each other.

In many countries executions are common where the survival chance is almost zero. A lot of US citizens get killed by trigger-happy cops where you do not even get a trial, here you at least get a fair chance to survive (25% in the case of 2 rounds).

You can construct elimination games in many ways, it does not have to be physical combat, can be board games(such as Fischer random) or letting people of the opposite sex choose after they have stripped naked. You may give people several chances to survive and reserve the death matches to the losers.

Soul purification

When your body dies you will lose most if not all memories and this is a good thing. When you live your soul becomes polluted by false beliefs and death is often the only cure. When you reincarnate your soul gets pure again and will be able to live a new amazing life.

Children with past life memories generally become a lot happier when they forget these memories and can move on with their current life.

If you do reach important conclusions in one life you can easily make them generally available and you might get a good use for that the upcoming lives. If you write a good book you might read it an upcoming life and liking it, not knowing you wrote it yourself.

Most stuff you learn in your life is unfortunately useless or more than useless, you might believe it is important information when it isn't. When you are reborn you are able to experience childhood again and grow up with new friends, starting a new life instead of being old and miserable.

Mental disorders such as Christianity, ocd, depression are all cured by death. It might be hard to accept for born cowards but death is the solution for all personal problems, if your current life is miserable dying is most likely a good thing.

It is very difficult to help victims of severe brainwashing before they die and reincarnate, most people are not willing to accept that they have been fooled and will not accept help even if it is offered for free. Realizing you have been fooled and moving on to a better understanding of reality requires both intelligence and will-power.

The value of human life

When valuing individual lives you have to look at the particular individual and the society as a whole. Death always leads to reincarnation and a new life, the new life can be better or worse, it is better to die with honor than to end up having a miserable life. In general human life is of little value, the current overestimation of the value of human life has lead to a very bad situation in our society.

One measure of someones contribution to society is income but this does not cover all contributions since a lot of individuals offer a lot of value to other people (such as writing high quality open source software) without getting much in return, we also have a lot of individuals getting paid by governments to do things that are bad for our society.

What is important for each individual is the quality of their lives and their individual moral preferences, not how long the individual lives. Suicide is a perfectly rational solution if personal problems are too big.

A particular human can be both beneficial and harmful towards other humans. We need enough people having children to maintain the population, which makes sure most humans will reincarnate as humans and not something else. An individual with valuable genes will be able to improve the upcoming generations by spreading their genes and should be considered as valuable.

Mass murderers and humans that torture only inflict short suffrage, an individual that makes the gene pool worse will inflict suffering for many generations. The opposite can be said for improving the quality of the gene pool. An increase in intelligence will result in a better society for many upcoming generations.

Abortions and infanticide

It does not matter if consciousness has developed or not. It is currently unknown when consciousness is developed in a child/fetus and it does not matter, if consciousness is developed in the fetus it will simply reincarnate after the abortion.

There is nothing wrong in murdering defective children, it is however a sin to kill a fetus that has good genes and health, even if the fetus is unconscious. Even a child with average genes might turn out to be valuable.

Aborting your own child is genetic suicide but if a female aborts her child it will also result in her husband spreading his genes less, but the genetic interest argument could be continued indefinitely, what if your sister or identical twin is planning to do an abortion/infanticide?

When a child is aborted a specific genetic configuration is eliminated before we know much about how good that particular combination is, this is only a good form of eugenics for obvious defects but it is not ideal if we want to improve average iq.

The emotional arguments for opposing abortion is very weak, you do not have to watch any abortion being done and you can simply pretend it isn't happening.

We also have to ask yourself why not also make infanticide legal if both parents agree? In the past infanticide was most likely a common method to avoid starvation.

Anarcho-darwinism

One example of a stateless society is [medieval iceland](#) which lasted 290 years, their society was much more stable than any republic and also more stable than the democracy ancient Greece had.

When the majority of the population does not accept slavery(taxation, military service, etc) or other forms of abuse the Nash equilibrium will be similar to anarcho-capitalism since people would use violence against any aggressors. Not everyone will be protected, genetic trash will hopefully end up in the bottom without any rights.

The issue with capitalism is that it forces people to for work other people instead of oppressing people, it also forces people into a less and less natural lifestyle over time.

With anarcho-darwinism people reach the top by being ruthless and while there would still be capitalism to some extent it would be far more limited since there wouldn't be any state to support large-scale capitalism, this will in a lot of poverty and people will have to fight a lot harder for survival.

The non-aggression principle in anarcho-darwinism is simply a nash equilibrium, there is nothing wrong with initiating violence against the unfit. The vikings practiced anarcho-darwinism to some extent with their raids, they raided the racially less fit. In anarcho-darwinism aggression against the unfit(such as defective fetuses) should be permitted.

Some will choose to be completely unarmed while others will own fighter-jets, people that control the powerful weapons will naturally also have more power over the country itself since they will influence the outcome of armed conflicts. A wealthy individual may decide to finance a private army in order to protect himself and a lot of other people he care about.

If the anarcho-darwinian land is invaded it will be defended in a decentralized manner and it will be very expensive to take control over the country since a lot of people will have very heavy weapons.

An individual may also use his private army to invade a country and thus acquire more land and resources, the individual waging the war will have a great economic incentive to make the war cost-effective and it will likely benefit the population as a whole.

Polycentric law

Polycentric law is a legal structure in which providers of legal systems compete or overlap in a given jurisdiction, as opposed to monopolistic statutory law according to which there is a sole provider of law for each jurisdiction. Devolution of this monopoly occurs by the principle of jurisprudence in which they rule according to higher law.

If we manage to crush the current system we will most likely end up with polycentric law. Since your power is related to your violence and terror potential people and groups will be motivated to arm themselves a lot which will solve the issue of national defense. Your power will increase with your violence potential since violence is the ultimate arbitrator, courts are just a cheap replacement.

How long will a system of polycentric law last? It depends on the population, if the population is willing to sacrifice security and simplicity for freedom it is likely to last a long time period. If most people prefer to have a state it will not take long before they got the state they wanted (and high taxes).

The futility of limited government

The only constitution you can have faith in is not the one on paper but in the high-quality men who will not tolerate the infringement of their freedoms and prerogatives [25](#)

A state, in accordance with generally accepted terminology, is defined as a compulsory territorial monopolist of law and order (an ultimate decision maker). Feudal lords and kings did not typically fulfill the requirements of a state; they could only “tax” with the consent of the taxed, and on his own land every free man was as much a sovereign (ultimate decision maker) as the feudal king was on his.

In the course of many centuries, these originally stateless societies had gradually transformed into absolute – statist – monarchies. While they had initially been acknowledged voluntarily as protectors and judges, European kings had at long last succeeded in establishing themselves as hereditary heads of state. Resisted by the aristocracy but helped along by the “common people”, they had become absolute monarchs with the power to tax without consent and to make ultimate decisions regarding the property of free men.

The fear of death is a threat to liberty

A person afraid of dying is easy to control, a coward is simply someone afraid of dying. Christianity and Odinism has offered a false sense of security for the masses, if someone believes he will come to Valhalla after dying in battle he will be more likely to be brave.

A person that doesn't fear death is a lot more difficult to enslave [24](#) he will protect his family without hesitation and be a dangerous warrior.

If you accept reincarnation you will accept that death isn't the end, you will simply reincarnate and be reborn. It is better to live a short honorable life than to live a long life in a cage, what matters are the quality of your life and how it affects your future lives, not how long it is.

According to Mises and Rothbard, once there is no longer free entry into the business of the production of protection and adjudication, the price of protection and justice will rise and their quality will fall. Rather than being a protector and judge, a compulsory monopolist will become a protection racketeer – the destroyer and invader of the people and property that he is supposed to protect, a warmonger, and an imperialist. Indeed, the inflated price of protection and the perversion of the ancient law by the English king, both of which had led the American colonists to revolt, were the inevitable result of compulsory monopoly. Having successfully seceded and thrown out the British occupiers, it would only have been necessary for the American colonists to let the existing homegrown institutions of self-defense and private (voluntary and cooperative) protection and adjudication by specialized agents and agencies take care of law and order

With the growth of mass democracy (the abolition of poll taxes and other qualifications for voters, and the enfranchisement of non-Whites), the rise in the influence of the mass media on public opinion, the US. government was gradually transformed into the malignant monster it is today. We are now in a society where the government wants to control every aspect of our lives in all developed countries on earth, it is harder and harder to live the life we want to live without getting imprisoned for breaking laws while the government is free to break every law and constitution.

About checks and balances to power

The power of an entity can be limited by hard limit or by deterrence.

For a hard limit to work when it comes to government decisions the time it takes for other individuals to intervene cannot be too long, only a few minutes in the case of nuclear war.

deterrences can often be evaded, there are many ways to cheat in elections and in wars you may be able to limit the damage that can be delivered in return if you decide to push the nuclear button.

If someone does a crime it will often be too late once the police show up and many people are willing to break the law to achieve a political goal. Brenton Tarrant killed 51 muslims knowing he would go to jail for it, breivik killed 77 individuals and didn't even expect to survive.

Hard limits to the power of certain leaders only changes the power distribution, it doesn't limit the total power of the government.

Entity A: makes the correct decision 70% of the time.

Entity B: makes the correct decision 60% of the time.

If entity B rules the country but is accountable to Entity A you want entity A to intervene as much as possible, of course it would be even better if Entity A directly rules the country. The opposite is true if Entity A governs, in that case it's better if entity B never intervenes.

Unfortunately the ones with the ultimate power will be time-limited and thus they will end up having to rely on other people making good decisions for them. Thus even if the highest court always makes the correct decision we might still end up with a lot of bad results due to the highest court not having time to review all decisions made by lower courts.

Separations of power allows for more specialization but it also adds more points of failure, this will only be stable if all branches of government are accountable to the same entity with ultimate power, this specialization can also be achieved by relying on parties outside the official government.

Mental fitness

All humans suffer from the limitations/flaws of our human brains and some people have very severe brain disorders. During the Rosenhan experiment it was shown that we cannot trust psychiatrists to correctly evaluate mental fitness, you might think you can tell whether or not someone is mentally ill but in reality you cant.

Mental fitness is a continuum, there is several aspects of mental fitness such as logical intelligence, spatial intelligence, executive functions, memory, etc. Mental capabilities all correlate and in typical mental tasks many different mental faculties are used, it is thus a lot more practical to focus on the general intelligence g instead of the specific capabilities.

Most people are incapable of making rational independent decisions even when they have a huge incentive to make the correct decision. Unfortunately realizing your own limitation also requires intelligence and thus incompetent people generally think they are capable [28](#)

Mass-voting doesn't work

The incentive to vote correctly yourself or to give valuable information to other voters decrease as the amount of voters increase. In addition it will the mental abilities of the average voter will go down as the amount of voters increase given ideal selection of people being allowed to vote.

Objective elite rule

There is several ways to select people based on objective criteria

1. amount of tax paid
2. passing a knowledge/intelligence test.
3. wealth possessions (land, money, etc).

Method 2 is useful for selecting jury members to courts, allows all sufficiently intelligent people to participate in the judiciary if they so wish.

Method (1,3) can be used to select people randomly "sortition" or determine how many districts someone is permitted to vote in (the parliament can be spread out over the country).

All these 'objective" criteria are still subject to human judgment, the income people make and their resources will depend on government decisions, who does well on a test will depend upon the nature of the test and how difficult it is to cheat.

An objective test only needs to be slightly better than random selection to be worth implementing, the cost of having these tests will be very low, we just need to make sure cheating doesn't become an issue.

Autocracy

It's a mathematical reality "arrows theorem" that the only voting system where irrelevant alternatives doesn't matter and follows unanimous consent is dictatorship, all other voting system is plagued with voting paradoxes and forces people to vote tactically. When you rule as a dictator there isn't any legal way for other people to remove you from your post and you will be able to shape the country according to your personal preferences.



Being a dictator is a lot of fun, you will be able to freely abuse other people without them having any legal way to oppose it. You will be the closest a human can get to an omnipotent god. Long after you are dead people will look up to you as a hero no matter how tyrannical you were.

When you have absolute power you always have the option of implementing any other system of governance such as elite rule or constitutional democracy.

Vintologi Churches

Each church will have its own rituals and beliefs, with time some rituals and beliefs will be common for all churches and become a part of the vintologi religion. There should not be any bit vintologi organization controlling everything, there is no need for that and giving too much power to any entity is dangerous.




vintologi church should offer wedding ceremonies, these weddings cannot always be legally binding.

Most vintologi churches shall be lead by a single individual “church führer” that have full legal control over the church, members are still free to join and become a member of some other vintologi church.

Vintologi churches shall help their members to find sexual partners that meet their high standards, this may include screening males to see which of them that meet the high standards of the holy women of our church, a holy women may decide to be naked in our church if she isn’t taken.

A vintologi church should have good sex rooms in the case some church members wants to make love in the church. These rooms will allow for bondage and family building.



There are no really good humans to use as role models. Victor Blom may be a very talented poker player but he has other character flaws that have ended up costing him a very large amount of money, Anders Behring Breivik was brave but he did not have any children. Albert Einstein developed general relativity but he was wrong about quantum mechanics and failed to come up with a complete theory of physics (many humans have since tried that and failed).

There is currently no shortage of empty Christian churches that can be converted to Vintologi churches, when a church is converted everything Christian about it should be removed and burned. The actual church building may be owned by someone else than the church leader.

Building Vintologi Communities

Everyone will be welcome to our Vintologi communities, you do not need a full understanding of Vintologi or having particularly good genes to join. You do not need to be anywhere close to being an elite human being to understand Vintologi, the issue more about age, as you get older you are more invested in your beliefs often to the point where death is the only cure.

Online communities have the advantage of allowing some people to be completely anonymous while real world communities allow for closer human to human interaction. Online communities also allow us to remain in contact with each other without being in the same physical location.

Humans are social animals and thus in order to maintain your correct beliefs you need to interact with people that share the same correct beliefs. You will also need humans you are able to talk to honestly without fearing backlash due to you being honest about your views.

If you believe in a more radical version of Vintologi you may want to keep these beliefs for yourself unless you are fully anonymous. The social cost that comes with having unpopular views can be very high including but not limited to rejection by the opposite sex and issues with employment.

As our numbers grow we will be increasingly able to support ourselves.

Living as a minority

When we are small in numbers we will be forced to blend in the society and not be perceived as a hostile group. When our numbers are small the proper thing to do is to reproduce in massive numbers, there is no point in sanctifying ourselves like Breivik did.

Having a local Vintologi community has upsides but there is also a lot of risk to it and it gives enemies of Vintologi an easy target to go after. By being open and supporting each other we would be able to survive and thrive assuming we do not get the government against us but if the government do turn against us having an open community will result in a lot of casualties for us.

You cannot fully follow Vintologi and also follow the laws and norms of your country. When we are small in numbers running and hiding will be the proper thing to do, defeating the military and maintaining control over the country will be very difficult unless at least 10% of the population support you. Time spent trying to achieve political success is time that could have been spent better achieving real world results instead of at best getting a few seats in parliament.

When our numbers grow we will be able to take more and more control over the countries we live in and make the conditions for Vintologi better. When people finally realize we are taking over the country it will already be too late, they underestimated us and thought we just were some crazy lunatics.

Examples of political goals is lowered age of consent, better marriage laws(polygamous), abolish the system of child support being tied to who is the biological father, freedom of speech for us, freedom of religion for us, eugenics instead of dysgenics.

Generally we want to be armed as much as possible compared to our enemies, the issue with the second amendment is that it allows everyone including our enemies to easily get guns, thus it may actually be better if it's more difficult to get armed. We don't want our enemies to be able to defend themselves when we target them, we can target them efficiently once we have taken control of the government.

Just simple legal weapons may not actually be that useful, we need to eventually get control over advanced weapons such as fighter jets, drones and weapons of mass destruction.

The more accepting society as a whole is of us the better, living as a hated minority can be very difficult. Currently we can rely on the fact that people are tolerant of religious communities but that may not last forever. Even in democratic societies we still run into the risk of being harassed by the authorities such as child protective services (taking our children if we don't comply).

Tribalism

Helping random strangers does not make evolutionary sense and because of this reason ethno-nationalism isn't natural. Tribalism is natural, a tribe is a group of genetically very related humans and this allows for the group as a whole to preserve its genetics.

Preserving your genetics by your self is only possible with cloning and it has not yet been done with humans and if you just have children with random females your genes will be dissolved into the shitty general population and most likely it won't result in any good outcome.

Blood relatives are a lot more important than your so called friends, they tend to be more supportive and thus valuable despite their intellectual shortcomings. Having blood relatives you trust will decrease the probability of you getting used by people that do not care about your well-being. Having Good blood relatives will result in you not having to rely upon the government or private organizations in the case you need help, thus tribalism is a threat to statism and also organized religion in general.

You do not need to have any friendships with people you are not genetically related too, it's rare that you can trust and rely upon an individual you are not genetically related to. Some people seek ideological communities or communities based upon a common interest but in most cases that's ends in disaster, they will abandon you very easily and it does not matter how much you have helped them.

You can be genetically close to someone without having a known common ancestor, generally if you look similar to someone you are genetically close to that human. One issue with internet accounts is that you may not know how someone looks and thus end up trusting a genetic enemy(most people are garbage).

Hate & love

There is a lot of talk about hate-crimes, there is a body of laws against hate-crimes, everybody seems to be worried about hate. Hate appears to be the gravest problem of our time but hate is good, hate gives structure to our lives, it gives us a reason to exist, a focus, something to strive for, an identity. Hate allows us to see through lies and pretense, it helps us concentrate on the essential. Hate is democratic; the rich and powerful cannot hate more than their slaves/subjects and soon hate might be all that we have left.

Hate emancipates, without hate for slavery you cannot break your shackles, without hate for injustice there can be no justice. The greatest achievements of humans has grown from hate and the ability to control hate. Hate separates the humans from other animals, animals do not hate but humans do. Humans can hate for decades, sometimes for an entire life, we can even pass on hate to our children and grandchildren and keep hate alive for centuries. Hate is a sign of abstract intellect; only humans can hate people they have never seen or met, only humans can hate concepts and processes.

How can we know what love is if we refuse to recognize and understand hate? love and hate are the two opposite sides of the same coin. In order to be complete we need hate. Hate separate us from the weak and docile masses. People in power fear hate since it's capable of destroying and creating empires.

Do not fear hate, do not deny or reject hate, accept hate and embrace hate, learn to know it and learn to use it. Hate is your most powerful weapon, a hidden source of your strength, do not deny it for you.

War morality

There is nothing inherently wrong with war. By war, you can expand your borders or your population like the vikings did, strong men will be able to rape females and the strong men will be able to advance in the crushed society. Peaceful societies tend to be dysgenic while war-societies allows for the strong males to impregnate a lot of females. The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots and tyrants, no matter how clever the constitution you craft you will eventually end up with totalitarian government, government tend to become more big and powerful over time if they do not collapse.

Currently killing civilians is considered a war crime but this is of course nonsense, why should killing the civilian cowards be considered a bad thing? If anything killing brave capable soldiers is worse. It is important that soldiers get rewarded for their bravery by spreading their genes a lot if they survive, this cannot be fair individually(Breivik is unlikely to get any children) but it can be fair in general.

When you crush the country you invaded they shall all be killed or made your slaves. If she/he isn't desired as sex-slave by hetero/homosexual males of your country she/he may still be useful for medical experiments or target practice. The new slaves shall be given a citizenship of class D, they will not all be treated the same, the ones that fought on your side shall be rewarded with the best form of D citizenship or even C citizenship while the low quality humans that remained loyal to the old government shall be given D-citizenship of the worst kind resulting in them not having any rights at all.

Winning a war requires you to be brutal, the laws of war only apply to the losers and can be used to further humiliate the losers. By using weapons of mass destruction and committing war crimes you will be able to win easier and you will save the lives of your own soldiers. If one hydrogen bomb doesn't won the war why not send 10 more?

The losers will be face war crime tries, the winners will sit in the jury, might is indeed right.

About race

While there is differences between human populations [29](#) there isn't any clear way to divide humans into races [30](#)

If the population of a race increases the probability to reincarnate into the race will become larger and the probability to reincarnate from the race to another one will become smaller. If a race become extinct the souls will most likely reincarnate into different human races.

Your soul has no gender or race but you will with time get used to your body(mostly the brain) and be likely to end up with something similar the next life, the probability for reincarnation decreases with genetic distance.

South East Asians have bigger brains and slightly higher average IQ than the average for white countries [31](#) white people have much bigger bodies and are viewed as much more attractive by males and females. white people instead have much stronger bodies and are a lot more attractive, asian males are very unattractive [32](#) in addition to ugly faces they also suffer from small penis size and weak bodies [33](#)

Northern Europeans do not have the highest average iq but we have typically have very attractive bodies and are thus sought after by humans all over the planet.


About racemixing

Egalitarians have argued that people of mixed race are in some ways superior to people of unmixed race, and therefore race-mixing is desirable. This seems inconsistent with their position that there are no significant genetic differences between races, but egalitarians are not strongly committed to consistency.

Due to mutation and selection, the longer a population has been isolated from other populations, the more likely it is to have acquired alleles by mutation that other populations don't have. Inbreeding passes those alleles around within the population, so that people within that population are more likely to share alleles than are people from different populations, i.e., that population is more homozygous than is a population formed by combining that population with another population.

Now, when two populations interbreed to form a hybrid population, each parent population has accumulated, over tens or hundreds of thousands of years, a unique set of alleles that is close to the optimum for the particular environment it has been in, and that environment includes the environment its own members have created, e.g., their history, culture, and accumulated knowledge. Inevitably, the two parent populations have lived in different environments, and the hybrid population will live in the environment of one or both of the parent populations. Thus, the hybrid population will not have the collection of alleles that are most advantageous for either of those environments, a substantial loss of fitness, i.e., their likelihood of successfully reproducing is lessened.

When man makes a plant or animal hybrid, he carefully selects which offspring he will let survive and reproduce. Nature, too, selects ruthlessly and destroys thousands of crosses from different populations, leaving few, if any, hybrid survivors. (Patterson, 1999, p. 95). When the Caucasians arose, for example, there was no government aid to the less capable, and those who did not possess the most advantageous traits of both the Cro-Magnons and the Neanderthals simply died without issue. The very existence of the Caucasians in Europe proves that they, the hybrids, were more fit in Europe than either the Cro-Magnons or the Neanderthals who begot them.



With miscegenation today, however, few of the hybrids fail to survive and reproduce because food, shelter, medical and dental treatment, and social services are provided for them, whether or not they are sufficiently productive to pay for them. Instead of letting natural selection take its course, as it did when the Caucasian hybrids were born, the state requires the more fit to reduce their own chances of surviving and reproducing in order to enhance the chances of the less fit surviving and reproducing. Any farmer with an ounce of sense knows that all his plants and livestock are not all genetically equal, and so he selects his seed for his next year's crop from only the best of his plants and animals; only egalitarians tell every seed that with a little manure it can be the equal of any other seed, however unfit it is.

Genetic engineering

The probability for archiving a hybrid vigor will increase with the use of genetic engineering, it makes it possible to combine desirable traits from two or more groups of humans.

Contrary to popular belief GMO is perfectly safe when done right and it has incredible potential [34](#) Homo sapiens superior can be created much faster when genetic engineering is used, it will give the parents the option to design their future children instead of relying on luck only.

Biotechnology allows people with genetic defects to reproduce without ruining future generations, you might be able to overcome the genetic disabilities you were cursed with but unless use technology to improve the genetics of your children the result or your success may just be generations of suffering.

Beneficial mutation sometimes occur 'naturally' but it is rare and it takes a long time for it to spread, thousands of years in the case of blue eyes. A beneficial genetic change may require many basepairs to change possibly making the improvement irreducibly complex and thus unachievable via natural selection.

You only need to introduce a new superior gene once, after that it can be passed on naturally via sex. You may choose to have children naturally probably resulting in less fit children but then your children may have to fix the fitness issue via technology instead, even people with much better genes than typical will have some bad genetics too.

Initially ordinary humans reproducing without genetic engineering will be left behind, they will live miserable lives desperately searching for meaning when there isn't any. With time it will be harder and harder for unmodified humans to survive and eventually there won't be many if any old humans left and the world will be a very different place.

The progress will initially be held back by the desire to create humans able to breed with old humans, eventually new incompatible species will be created and some will be many times (if not hundreds of times) more intelligent than the old humans.

Genetic engineering when done in a proper manner will not lead to peace, instead it will accelerate evolution and create new conflicts. A intelligent enough organism will conclude that there is far too many useless humans and decide to exterminate a large number of them if possible, eventually rationality will win and a lot of humans will die.

You are unlikely to benefit from the creation of a new genetically engineered species the next life since the probability for reincarnation decreases with genetic distance, it may even be detrimental since it may result in less bodies of your own genetic type.

Examples of unnatural selection are forced sterilization, the welfare state, executions, selection of sperm or egg when the parents isn't biological. Technology also allows for genetic engineering and selecting the best outcome from several fertilized eggs to avoid genetic disease and disability such as Cystic fibrosis.

Genetic manipulation of humans is very controversial but there is very good reasons for making the population more intelligent by natural or unnatural selection. The goal should not be to make all perfect with iq 160 but to increase the genetic material of humans in general, we still want great genetic variation between individuals and races.


With Genetic Engineering it will be possible to drastically reduce sickness, if implemented properly there will no longer be a lot of people that suffer from cancer, cystic fibrosis, bad vision and other condition where genetics are important.

Survival of the fittest

Making huge genetic modifications to your children does not make sense of an evolutionary perspective since it would be a big difference between you and your 'offspring', without natural selection the people that refuse to alter their genetics will replicate themselves and become more and more numerous despite being very unfit.

Parents without good genes that hasn't spent to money needed to create design-babies will see their children left behind without any true purpose in life, this is already the case for many individual resulting in them desperately trying to find a meaning, they will never find a true meaning since there isn't any.

When the genetic engineering is done in a decentralized manner the result will be more and more powerful and independent thinking humans being created, this combined with artificial intelligence will result in people no longer relying on the state and anarchy will be created, a lot of humans will die.



Genes that leads to suicidal behavior will not last and thus with time in a certain environment suicidal behaviors such as using contraceptives will become less and less common. You should of course never ever use a condom or even worse take pills than ruins your sex-drive, prevents you from getting pregnant now and also have severe risks including death, that is suicidal.

Thus over time natural selection forced us to become increasingly darwinian in our thinking.

Humans have already tried managing breeding with dogs and it has been a total disaster where the easiest solution is to start over again from wolves and kill existing dogs for food. Most humans simply lacks the intelligence needed to do good choices when it comes to human genetic engineering and breeding in general. Civilization in general has lead to a lot degeneration among humans similar to what we see with dogs(less intelligence and aggression).

Diminishing their hunting intellect, by which we further exacerbated by obstructing the natural selection within their species by altering their breeding environment to meet our needs. Dogs no longer died from stupid mistakes because they had humans to aid them, hunting intelligence was diminished because of food dependence upon humans etc etc. These were all unconscious decisions that led to the regression of the dogs cognitive capacity relative to the natural wolf.



The need for the intelligence of the wolf was not a prerequisite for our domestication of them, we did not need it, and the early portion of the formation of the dog was in a change of eating patterns by pack rejects that started following human settlements and surviving on our refuse.

Harsh competition for survival results in bad genes being weeded out of the gene pool and thus a more healthy population. You may realize that you yourself does not have very good genes we still in general benefit from doing our best to survive and multiply, your children might be lucky and end up having better genes than you.

By having a lot of children with individuals that also have good genes you improve the chances of also having good genes in future lives. You have an interest in people with high genetic quality spreading their genes a lot even if you are not closely related genetically, reincarnation to an individual of a different race is possible even though the probability is much smaller.

Medical quackery

A lot of people have been weeded out from the gene pool using so called “alternative medicine” which is ‘medicine’ that currently isn’t evidence based. Effective medicine often have side effects that are harmful while useless medicine often lacks bad side effects since it doesn’t really do anything.

You can use resources such as <https://sciencebasedmedicine.org> and <https://www.quackwatch.org/> to protect your self against charlatans and other people trying to sell you bad ‘treatments’. In general you can trust the medical establishment since it is based on merits and isn’t very democratic. Quacks can be very manipulative and thus you need to be very critical about any medical claims made by someone.

There are a lot of individuals giving bad medical advice on platforms such as youtube.

Healthy living

A lot of people want to live healthy but are confused, a diet promoted as healthy might actually be very bad for you. By looking at what humans have eaten during the last in the past you get a decent idea about what food is healthy, you can further improve your understanding by looking at scientific studies.

Not eating enough meat can result in very serious health consequences [35](#) [36](#) how long you last on a nutrient deficient vegetarian diet will depend on several factors such as your DNA [37](#) not getting enough B12 can result in you developing serious mental illness [38](#)

In addition to muscle meat muscle meat you also want to add some organ meat such as grass fed beef liver and foie gras. Just eating muscle meat is unlikely to provide you with all micronutrients you need for optimal health [38](#) there are plenty of plant sources of food you can use for this.

Over consumption of alcohol is very damaging to the entire body, especially the brain [39](#) [40](#) studies showing benefits of small alcohol consumption has been questioned [41](#) overall even a small amount of alcohol is bad for you. If you are in a situation where drinking alcohol is socially expected the proper solution is to pretend getting a bit drunk, this will also allow you to avoid a lot of dangers.

There are some potential benefits with a diet very low in carbs [42](#) [43](#) [44](#) but for most humans it's probably ideal to get about half your calories from high quality carbs.

[https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanpub/article/PIIS2468-2667\(18\)30135-X/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanpub/article/PIIS2468-2667(18)30135-X/fulltext)

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30638909>

You need to find a diet that contain all the nutrients you need, doesn't harm you and that you like to eat. If you are unable to stick to a particular diet it doesn't matter how healthy it is.

Alternatives to heterosexual sex

Humans need orgasm for their mental health and well-being, orgasms are very helpful for processing emotions. Abstaining from masturbation, porn, etc is not beneficial for survival and reproduction since it will cause a lot of mental stress and results in less productivity and success with the opposite gender.

It is getting harder and harder for males to get accepted by females, it will only get worse for most males. Fortunately Heterosexual males can also enjoy sex with other males a lot, this was common in the roman empire and the ancient Greece. When males no longer need females for sex it will be a lot harder for females to control the males via their sexuality.

Sex with females does not even offer males proper relief, a prostate orgasm is needed for true male pleasure but many males are not willing to go there, instead they have boring vaginal sex with females that treat them poorly. If you are not willing to have sex with other males using a dildo for relief is an alternative, additionally this has the advantage of lowering the risk of prostate problems.

The male sex drive is many times stronger than the female sex drive, sex is a male biological need and when most males are unwilling to have sex with other males rape females or substitute the need for female sex in other ways the result is likely to be extreme gynocentrism or feminism.

Alternatives to sex with females may not be an effective way to combat feminism since females today have a lot of options but reducing the power females have over males is a good thing in general [45](#)

There is of course many issues with taking away the female freedom; not many males would be willing to support hard patriarchy, female sexual selection will be eliminated resulting in less beautiful males, who should have power over the female? (often the father is not suitable for that power). If we want females to be free without gynocentrism being rampant we have to accept widespread(cultural) homosexuality and not just biological homosexuality.

STD:s may become is an issue with increased non-biological homosexuality. The current issue with sexually transmitted diseases is to a very large part important and it could be resolved without resorting to strict monogamy or banning homosexuality.

Porn and masturbation has the advantage of zero risk for STD:s while all forms of sex comes with risks, porn makes it possible to see a wide variety of females and sex with little effort, this leaved time for more important tasks. A lot of people have claimed that porn is very addictive but this is very far from the case, gambling are far more addictive and are also unlike porn financially dangerous.

Abstaining from masturbation may be beneficial during short periods but if you deprive yourself of sexual satisfaction too long it will result in low libido, high levels of neuroticism and also low testosterone for males. Frequent masturbation will also lower the risk or prostate cancer [46](#) prostate stimulation may also be useful for improving the health of the male prostate.


About contraceptives

Birth control pills are harmful towards females [47](#) if you are female you should never take them for many reasons. If you are a female you should be selective and only have sex with the best among the males, the losers must be rejected.

If you are not willing to have children with an individual you probably should not have sex in the first place, never humiliate yourself by having so called “protected sex”, by using a condom as a male you are essentially reducing yourself to a cuckold. If a female allows you to fuck you without condom it is likely that she actually likes you as a male instead of just using you as a wallet/similar.

If you are smart enough to realize you do have some poor genetics you should probably still have children since most stupid people are unable to accept their own inferiority, thus you should always have as many children as possible and let the weak ones die when the society collapses.

Anal and oral sex are fine alternatives if you are not currently interested in having children. If you are male you should not care about unwanted/unplanned pregnancies, since it can end up costing you money but that is no big issue, if you are intelligent making money should not be too difficult and there is currently the option of abusing government welfare.



Sex

Sex is not just about reproduction, it is also about [bondage](#) and pleasure. A good relationship between the man and the females is good for the female and the children, everyone will benefit from great sexual experiences. [ideal sex](#) is humiliating and highly degrading where the one being fucked are powerless at least for the moment, a male pervert being able to do anything he wants to a girl.

Ideal sex require a powerdynamics where someone is being constantly himiliated and dominated.

Oral sex

deepthroating requires a long enough penis and a skilled female, if the female is unwilling to perform deep-throating force may have to be used. If a female is new to deep-throating abstaining for food a period before the sex is recommended. the saliva in the throat will be useful as lubricant for anal sex.

She shall be humiliated by having cum in her mouth and swallowing, she has to be a good girl or she will have to be spanked or punished on other ways for misbehaving.

Spanking

Spanking is done with a palm, belt or another flexible object (tubes for watercooling are good). When the female doesn't do a good job deep-throating [physical punishment](#) is suitable.

When you look down upon the female you fuck the sex will become good and this is why polygamy results in better sex. With polygamy a lot more females will become wives of good-looking psychopaths and males will be forced to take females they dislike as partners resulting in much better sex(spanking and rape)

Anal sex

Anal sex is even more fun when the female doesn't want to have it. If she tries to escape due to pain she has to be restrained by in some way such as bending over a couch armrest or lying on the floor with the male over her. If she is still able to resist tape or handcuffs can be used to further restrict her movement.

Males can also enjoy receiving anal sex, dildos or female fingers can be used instead of actual males.

Impregnation

She will feel a dick inside her going in and out waiting eventually her pussy will be filled with semen with sperm that will move it's way towards her eggs, if one impregnation attempt failed she will have to be fucked over and over again until she becomes pregnant, there shouldn't be any way for her to escape pregnancy and childbirth.

For pussy-fucking a cock of big girth is preferable, too long length may actually be a disadvantage here.



Pain and hardships

Do we really want to live in a world when no one experience pain or any other kind of suffering?

Suffering and pain is a natural part of your lives, it allows experiencing reality the fullest. We go out of our way to avoid hurt, dodge danger and prevent hardships. Yet, it is the triumph over frailties or challenges that define us as strong, resilient, mature and experienced.

Contrary to popular belief, it appears a little suffering may be good for us. It forces us to solve problems creatively, think differently and explore our own vulnerabilities. What if Bill Gates gave up when his first business failed? What if Rosa Parks had chosen a different seat? What if Bethany Hamilton stopped surfing after she lost her arm in a shark attack?

Jungian James Hollis called suffering a prerequisite for maturation. He says, without it, we have no hope but to stay “unconscious, infantile and dependent.”

Researchers have found that life problems force us to think differently and stay intellectually nimble. Finding a new route when a subway line is down, climbing out from under a mountain of debt, figuring out how to get over an ex — all of these little puzzles are miniature mental marathons.

Living as male

As a man every facet of life is a ruthless competition with other men and there is no escaping it besides essentially giving up and maybe turning yourself into a tranny.

Males have a very strong sex-drive but when we actually have sex it's usually not that great, just a temporary relief. Some males can rely on only their bodies to attract the females they desire but most cant and end up having to compete for money and status.

The male brain and body allows some males and only males to compete and the highest level, if you are able to make it that far the life as a male will be awesome but most males are crippled with dysfunctional brain making high level competition very difficult or even impossible.

In social circles guys try to "cock block" each other". They'll sabotage each other's relationships (seen it happen a million times before). Some will be a little more subtle in establishing dominance over other guys by trying to make someone the butt of jokes, especially when a woman is present.

In the workplace men snitch on each other, abuse their power to fuck over guys below them, and when it comes time for layoffs, hours cuts, or promotions, they'll get extremely cut-throat in their competitiveness. Even minimum wage jobs are like this.

In any conflict that involves a man and a woman, all men will blindly side with the woman no matter how much evidence is in his favor.


Being male usually means struggle, you will have to compete against other males for females, humans is not an exception.

In the military guys get very competitive over promotions and will degrade anyone so much as one rank lower.

Homeless guys fight over territory and rob each other over what little they have., the vast majority of murders involve one male murdering another.

This is all instinct driven. Camaraderie among males is few and far between. Even in situations where all parties would benefit from cooperation and loyalty, men will usually screw each other over if it will get them a slight benefit. (See: prisoner's dilemma)

Due to monogamy getting increasingly unpopular among humans it is getting increasingly difficult for human males to succeed when it comes to mating. In the future dying childless will be the norm if you happens to be male.



Living as a female

When you are a human female you will be an object a lot of people desire, especially if you have attractive features such as E-cup breasts.

When you are a women you should get a kick out of being sexy, wearing revealing clothing to show of your beautiful body. Seeing males looking at you and wanting you.

Sex as a women can be really awesome, the orgasm will be a lot more intense than the typical male orgasm and it will last a lot longer, you can even get multiple orgasms.

Reproducing as a women

When you are pregnant you will see your breasts grow and feel your child grow inside you. Childbirth can be very intense, a combination of pain and euphoria.

A lot of women end up dying childless (ultimate failure) due to following harmful moral dogma instead of looking after themselves and future generations.



A monogamous relationship requires you to find someone willing to commit to you and establishing a relationship like that can take a lot of time. If it doesn't work out with the guy you may have ended up wasting your last years of fertility with him and you will not be able to have the child you wanted. If you are lesbian this is not even an option unless your partner is trans (is she still has fertility or sperm is backed up). This option is good for a small minority of the female population able to make a male of very high quality commit to them.

Reproduction via sperm bank isn't a very good option either since you cannot meet your donor or contact him, you children cannot contact him until they are 18. This can also become very expensive so it's not something i recommend.

There are plenty of males willing to need to find a male willing to make you pregnant and potentially end up having to pay child-support. Many males have a strong biological drive to reproduce (not just sex) and this may drive them to have sex knowing they might end up with expenses later.

Reproduction via deception

you do not tell him you are not on birth control, some people incorrectly view this as immoral, the genetics of your child is far more important than being honest with horny males that are willing to creampie you.

Even if you are a lesbian you should still reproduce by letting a male fuck you, it will be very humiliating but it will allow you to become pregnant for free by a male of high quality, just lie about being on birth-control of spermjack him.

You can use the treat of charging for child-support to make sure he doesn't try to get involved in you raising the child. I have seen several females on whisper that write that they do not even want his money, they just want him to go away and not get involved with the child

Simple rules to follow in your personal life

0. do not donate for altruistic reasons.

1. do not try to be fair/reasonable, demand conditions that favor you.
2. do not use contraceptives.
3. genital mutilation such as circumcision isn't a good idea.
4. eat meat.
5. avoid wasting time trying to reason with idiots.
6. do not die a virgin.
7. do not try to prevent teens from having sex.
8. do not become a parent for a child not related to you.
9. do not talk openly with therapists or psychiatrist, avoid them.
10. avoid psychoactive substances.
11. might makes right.
12. you may brake rule 0 to 9 if you have good reason(s) for it.

Rule 8 forbids you from adopting a child or having a pet as a replacement for a biological child. As a male you should always demand DNA test before becoming a parent for a child (following this strictly is probably the best strategy now).

Rule 8 still allows you to paternity-cuck a guy as a female, he has him selves to blame if he is naive enough to become an actual cuckold.

Dealing with gender roles

there is many differences between the genders. Only females can get pregnant, breastfeed and give birth which is why males have historically sacrificed themselves to protect females. Males have always been disposable and this is completely natural, less than 10% of males have any real value for society as a whole.

Males have to compete against other males for females, as monogamy is getting abandoned the competition will get more and more ruthless. More and more males will fail to live up to the standard and will thus be weeded out from the gene pool.

You may not like the gender roles of your gender but biology limits what you can do, in order to truly excel and at something you pretty much have to be male (better brain & stronger body) but if you want to make babies you have to be born female.

Human brains does not fall into distinct gender categories, not even close [48](#) [49](#) [50](#)

Cultural gender roles is often the result in general biological differences between the male and female brain, you may not want to follow these stereotypes. It's actually more important to have a beautiful face as a male but females are the ones buying most of the make-up, males instead tend to focus on bodybuilding.

About transsexualism

You may wish you wasn't born as a particular gender but the only way to truly transition is to die and reincarnate. Current medical transition options are good when it comes to offering the sexual experiences (including multiple full-body orgasms) females get to enjoy by default but when it comes to reproduction there are no good options available. Current neo-vaginas does are incapable of child-birth and womb transplants for transwomen are still not available, breastfeeding is possible but difficult [51](#)

There are many potential benefits from transitioning from male to female

0. having a body you find attractive.
1. access to the transbian dating pool.
2. multiple orgasms from penile stimulation.
3. full body orgasms.
4. you will feel emotions stronger and be happier on estrogen.
5. your breasts will become sensitive.
6. being able to attract cis lesbians (if you become attractive enough).
7. being able to attract high quality males for sex.
8. softer skin and less/no acne.
9. being able to extract resources from males.
10. stop and reverse hair loss.
11. people will treat you better if they think you are female.
12. females are less likely to become victims of crime.
13. access to female spaces (males are disgusting).
14. cheaper car insurance.

How medical transition affect mating options

Since females have the upper hand on the dating market transitioning from male to female will usually improve your options when it comes to getting sex. In addition the sex you enjoy as a female will be of higher quality. The opposite is true for females transitioning to male.

Becoming MtF transexual is a way better option than being involuntary celibate as a male, if you do not have [autogynephilia](#) as an incel you can try to induce it. You can watch porn and imagine yourself as the female, there is also POV porn you can try.

Step0: watch tsf monogatari, kämpfer or female POV porn.

step1: go to a gender clinic if you need an official diagnosis at some point.

step3: bank your sperm.

step4: start hormone replacement therapy [52](#)

step5: removal of unwanted hair.

step6: facial feminization surgery.

step7: social transition.

Your sexuality may switch on hormone replacement therapy [53](#) if your sexuality stay the same you always have the option of dating trans girls, there are also plenty of cis girls willing to date trans girls.

You need to know your value as a female, avoid sissy stuff and stop being a loser.

The experience of becoming female

The following individual was able to massively improve his life by transitioning.

Honestly this was even harder to decide to post than my attempt to be gay 8+ months ago. Because the implications and outcomes have been completely different. The experience has been completely different.

Disclaimer: Before all of this I 100% completely identified as a man, a man's man type of internal mindset. Very heterosexual. And gay stuff just did nothing for me. It was only out of desperation and having the right features that I attempted this gender transition. By right features I mean I was only 5'8 starting out and had a 2.7 inches erect penis. Plus all my facial features are feminine and I was pretty cute even as a guy. With 4 inches to my height and dick I could have been a normie or even chad lite. Yet reality is cruel sometimes. Since being on hormones I have lost some height, so I'm closer to 5'7 now, a true manlet if I ever decide to return to manhood.

I have been on estrogen for about 6 months now. It all started when I got my new therapist three months before that. Who referred me to a new general practitioner he knows. Obviously I told him nothing about being incel or hating females. Only that I always felt like a girl, which was a massive lie. I just wanted to get hormones to attempt a gender transition and hopefully become attracted to dudes like the other trans on reddit. Who so ironically betray themselves and their cause by casually posting truth.

I just want to say for the record I don't believe in any of the trans bullshit about being born that way. Maybe an exceptionally small amount of them are. Yet if you read their forums or subs its so extremely common how many of them are just guys who wank to shemale porn and have autogynephilia. The vast majority almost always speak about how their brains get changed by hormones. Further proving they are truly male in the brain. Basically they are mostly cross dressing fetishists. Maybe a very few of them really were born with a fucked up brain but its hard to weed out the liars. Since the fake trans just copy what the real trans say. Yet they let it slip in their posts how much they love tranny porn or wanking their she cocks. Not that it matters, more trans is always a good thing. Less beta orbiters and sometimes even trans that are hot.

The first injection my doctor did for me. After that I did the rest myself. My hormones were tested before I started estradiol and at the 3 month mark. My testosterone was exceptionally low so he said I did not need an anti-androgen. I started out injecting every 2 weeks but moved to once per week after 3 months because I was getting hot flashes due to low spots in between.

The changes seemed slow at first. The first week I got sick at my stomach after the injection. I just felt awful, almost like someone was flicking my balls with their finger. Not as painful as being kicked in the nuts though. This lasted for about 3 or 4 days. Then it happened again after the next injection. It mostly stopped after the 3rd one. By which time I already had breast buds. Just a short while after that I started getting serious breast tissue. I had already been out in public dressed several times even in the first month. But I got a binder so I could delay social transition. Because I needed more time to master my female voice.

Mastering a female voice was actually pretty tough. It took me about a month and a half to really sound like a girl. And I was practicing every day at least 30 minutes. I spent so much time looking up how to do this and incorporating the advice into my sessions. I would record myself and play it back to see if I sounded like a girl.

It was about 4 months in when things really started to twist my reality into all sorts of fucked. One day when I was wanking I realized I was still hard after cumming, which was mostly clear at this point. I

felt as if I was not fully satisfied, as if I could keep going. So I kept wanking and I came again. Then I came again, and again. With no orgasm declining in quality. I came so many times I wasn't horny for 4 days afterwards. At which point I came over 10 times. A week after that I came 40+ times in less than a hour. I actually lost count of how many times I came. The first orgasm had the most clear cum. Then the first few after had plenty. Then I would rotate between ejaculating anywhere from a teaspoon, to a few drops, to a dry orgasm. As my body just kept making more.

During this same time my emotions were becoming far more intense. I would cry at stupid and random stuff. But crying does not feel bad, it feels good to release emotions. I would care about stuff that didn't even matter. It was almost like the hormones were dumbing me down. Dumbing me down enough to where I could enjoy life. I was starting to get a feeling, almost like being continually a little bit high. It was euphoric to say the least.

I only had a bit of feeling in my phantom vagina area. Which was more than a bit disappointing. So I decided to try to encourage the feelings. I had phone sex with a couple of guys I met on the net. I role played the part of the girl perfectly, even moaned like I was loving it. The next day after the 3rd guy I experienced a massive change. After peeing I felt this intense surge of energy from my phantom vagina to my chest. An absolute nuclear pleasure reaction and I thought about the night before when I had phone sex with that guy. It wasn't long after I realized what men could do to me, that I started craving to kiss them. Craving them inside me as I cuddled my pillow while falling asleep. Craving to be held by a big and strong man.

The month after that things got even more intense. It was clear to me that females experienced sex with far more joy than men. Its like having a dick with sensory feelings that reaches all through up the body and hooks in the heart. My first experience with a guy was a non chad friend, who knew I had started a transition and thinks I am actually trans. We didn't have sex or even oral but we kissed a few times and cuddled for a few hours. It was magical, the cuddles were even better than the endless orgasms. Waves upon waves of mental emotion mixed with sexual waves of pleasure. Its so extremely addicting.

I'm beyond words enjoying my experience on female hormones. I still hate females, cucks, and chads. This is the turning point for me. If I don't quit now I will probably end up sterile and unable to break this intense addiction to female hormones and to men. Finishing transition will take work but leads to a life of happiness. Not finishing means going back to a hell after experiencing a heavenly paradise the likes of which I could have never imagined.

I feel like any choice I make is life wrecking but I was already totally fucked to begin with. Its so fucked up to crave men, to think of being dominated by them. My brain so fucked up on female hormones its telling me it would feel amazing to swallow semen and get creampie. I only have one cuddle buddy right now but I think about cuddling other guys too.

I have not had sex yet, not even oral but I feel like if a dude mounts me and creams me while I'm hopped up on estrogen there may be no return. Because I can already tell getting fucked is another level of pleasure. Maybe even greater than the endless orgasms or even the cuddling. Orgasms are just a sprinkle on the icing for female bodies. Things are so much more intense and amazing in all areas of life. Going from before transition to right now was like going from black & white reality in low definition to 4k ultra HD with perfect sound. Even more than that, its like life is even more colorful now and things are so much more intense. The sky even looks brighter, stars shine more intensely to me. Its like hormones grew a lens of happiness in my brain that I now perceive reality through and its a much more positive experience.

Things look potentially so good, at least better than when it was hopeless. I don't know if I can ever go through with having my genitals cut off. I just have like a mental block over such a barbaric surgery. I think I could live fine with just having male parts. I partially identify as female but know deep down I'll always be a man because men are awesome.

I could see this as being the best cope for manlets who are cute. As long as their face isn't too masculine. I'm not saying its perfect, it has downsides like having to pee more. Its still a lot better than being incel forever. A lot of males suffer because the value their pride over their happiness, destroying your male pride is an important step in your transition process.

<http://archive.is/oge4A#selection-1481.0-1541.227>

Life outcomes of people that transition

Trans-women that are supported by their parents have good life outcomes [54](#) as society becomes more accepting of trans-women the outcomes of people that transition from male to female will improve.

In addition trans-women will benefit from the increasing female privilege, thus in the future more males will benefit from transitioning while it becomes less beneficial for females to transition to male despite trans-men becoming more accepted by society.

Avoiding social difficulties while transitioning

The focus of transitioning should be on changing your secondary sexual characteristics (hormones, surgery, etc.). You should regard it as a body modification similar to “body building”. This is the only sensible approach if you value your social life, integrity, and self-respect.

If you believe that there is an “innate gender” which is unrelated to biology or society then you will inevitably create social problems for yourself. People might understand that one might want to be (or look like) a woman, but almost everyone takes “born in the wrong body” as a joke, especially if you were not previously flamboyant. If you do not look and are socially regarded as a woman.

Claiming that you are a woman in the inside and that people should respect your innate gender regardless of how you look is meaningless and futile. This at best makes people pity you and at worst makes them mock and bully you. The situation worsens if you dress in women’s clothing but still look like a man. This should be avoided first and foremost out of self-respect, and second out of respect for fellow trannies. You will also hurt yourself for thinking that people do not treat you the way you should be treated.

So what is the solution? Easy, just take your pills. You will eventually start to get weird looks and occasional “ma’am”s. That should be your cue to allow yourself to dress and act more feminine. But if you want to be fully socially regarded as a woman, then you should look like one. For most people hormones might not suffice. At that point you should seek cosmetic facial surgeries (“FFS”). As you look more feminine, dress more feminine, act and sound more feminine, people will regard you as such. You will not have to “come out” and make a fool out of yourself. The transition will be smooth and you will not be going against the grain. Most people in the street will not know anything; they will either see you as a man, feminine male or a woman depending on your stage of transition. Nobody will bother you outside, even if you are not in a liberal country. The only possible problems can come from your family, but that would depend on each person. But regardless, I can assure you that your family will receive “I am working on looking more feminine” much better than “I am a woman inside, my name is Taylor now, pronouns she/her.”.



In conclusion, chemically feminize yourself, dress appropriately at each stage of transition and be honest. Do not be a fool.

Medical transition and reproduction

You can still impregnate females by donating sperm if you have it backed up, sure it will be less convenient but if you are currently involuntary celibate the chance of you reproducing is so abysmal it's unlikely to go down further if you make the transition. A lot of homosexual women still like dick and some of these women prefer sex with shemales over the total humiliation of having sex with males.

azoospermia caused by HRT is usually reversible provided you still have your testicles.

The same is not true for FtM, if you are born female transitioning will instead make reproduction a lot more difficult, especially if you want to do it with a male of high quality.

How society benefit from people transitioning

People that wish to transition usually have comorbid mental disorders [55](#) thus future generation is likely to benefit from letting these women transition and sterilize themselves in the process, this comes with the cost of using tax-money for these medical expenses and losing women that could provide sexual satisfaction to other people.

Males transitioning to female is beneficial for society since it would allow people to have fun fucking them. Incels transitioning to female is good for society since they will become less likely to develop or maintain problematic political beliefs or become violent, they will instead benefit from accelerated hypergamy and gynocentrism.

Less incels trying to force females to waste themselves with losers is a good thing.

It has been proven safe to allow trans-women inside spaces reserved for women [56](#) trying to exclude them would harm natal women too [57](#) [58](#) [59](#)

Not using tax-money to pay for medical transition would be dysgenic since it would make it more difficult for poor people to transition.

Genetic clowns

People with genetic conditions you don't want can create entertainment value for the rest of us, the fact that you do not want to be born with a certain condition does not mean said condition should be eliminated from the gene pool.

Females with gender dysphoria could be humiliated by forced feminization and this would allow for other people to make fun of them, thus we may want to force them to reproduce so we can make fun of and humiliate their offspring too.



The eugenics hierarchy

0. Genetic engineering & modern wars.
1. Genetic engineering & sexual selection.
2. Barbarianism (no government, a lot of violence).
3. Female sexual selection & forcing some females to have more babies.
4. Full female freedom when it comes to breeding.
5. Father selection (100% of females reduced to property).
6. Male sexual selection (males have all the power & reject most females).
7. Government selection (all females belong to the state as sex-slaves).
8. Government incentives.
9. Forced sterilization of females.
10. Voluntary positive eugenics.
11. Forced sterilization/eutanasia of males (all incels sent to gas-chambers, etc).
12. Voluntary negative eugenics.

In addition to being very unpopular government eugenics have a very bad track-record, there has never been a case where direct government eugenics (forced sterilizations or mass murders) has been implemented well, the results is always people getting targeted that shouldn't be targeted.

Free market eugenics

conscious choices made by humans could result in a rapid increase of genetic desirability.

Males with desirable traits will be allowed to sell/donate their sperm to a lot of females. Females with desirable traits will be able to sell their eggs for a very high price while undesirable females will be reduced to a surrogate mother delivering a child with more desirable genetics.

Genetic engineering will allow people to modify the genetics of their children, a lot of people have some genes that they do not want to pass on to their children and technology allowing for removal of these traits already exist.

Unless the state interfere we will be able to buy children with traits we desire in the future, it can be similar to the genes we have or a child of another couple that didn't want to raise all their children themselves.

Free market eugenics does not depend on coercion, it will be carried out in a decentralized manner where the market has the power instead of a specific government.

There is no master race

No matter what group of people you look at you do not find anything worth preserving in it's current form. All groups of humans are riddled with genetic diseases, have low average IQ and cannot properly satisfy females sexually.

There is no such thing as an ideal human, the ideal genetics will depend on the environment, what's ideal for earth isn't even close to ideal for living on mars, most likely a sustainable colony wouldn't even be possible.

Humans have come very far when it comes to dominating the planet but we have done very poorly when it comes to colonizing other planets and our civilization isn't sustainable. All our current advances is due to a small elite humans and the rest of humanity have benefited a lot from their work.

About national socialism

Putting a lot of effort into preserving an unfit race isn't rational, thus no form of ethno-nationalism is rational. One of the worst examples of ethno-nationalism is the third reich.

National socialists today typically ignore difference within the race and focus only on the differences between races, one issue with this is of course that there isn't any obvious way to divide humans into races, it will be arbitrary and not scientific.

The idea is to have darwinism between races while having socialism internally, the extre collectivism and anti-individualism in nazism is one issue with the ideology [60](#)

one of the reason why it ended badly was that Hitler has all the power and all assassinations attempts failed. National socialism is simply an extreme form of collectivism, the individual is reduced to cattle of the state that will be subject to government breeding and control in general, males may end up as just cannon-fodder in stupid wars.

Currently there are still a few nazi groups, some of them are attracted to the media picture of nazis while other just want a form of socialism that is somewhat workable.

National socialism and other forms of collectivism may be appealing to low quality individual but it will not attract the most capable among us and this is one of the reasons why national socialism and similar political movements has failed. It is common that nazis triggered by anal sex and promote christ insane sexual morality, they are simply control freaks like other lefties and they justify their authoritarianism by claiming it is necessary for the race despite the fact that there isn't a single good example of history where an authoritarian government has resulted in anything good for the people.

Hitler implemented national socialism and while it initially worked out well for non-Jewish Germans the end result was millions of dead Germans and tens of millions of white people murdered including 5 to 7 million Jews. The economic system Hitler implemented wasn't sustainable and thus the war was needed in order to avoid the economic collapse, the war initially went well for the Nazis but it ended up as a total disaster.




The Swedish national socialism was implemented better but there were a lot of people getting sterilized against their will for very dubious reasons. Many countries implemented policies of forced sterilization but it all had to be abandoned due to the inhumane and arbitrary nature of it.

The holocaust

The Nazis murdered 5 to 7 million Jews [61](#) [62](#) [63](#) [64](#) [65](#) [66](#) [67](#) [68](#) [69](#) many of these had valuable genetics, it is likely that the survivors among the European Jews such as Ludwig Von Mises had better genes and thus the holocaust may have improved the genes of the Jews at the expense of quantity which isn't a good thing for anyone.

The first population estimate was published 1949 and it turned out there were only 11,373,350 Jews left [70](#) [71](#)

Since the number of Jews was reduced the probability of being born as a Jew has also been reduced. The loss of Jews has also resulted in lost productivity since a gassed Jew will have a hard time contributing much to society. While the most intelligent of the Ashkenazi Jews such as Ludwig Von Mises and Albert Einstein had a greater chance of surviving the holocaust there was still a great loss of good genes due to the Nazi insanity.



<http://holocaustcontroversies.blogspot.com/2012/10/index-of-published-evidence-on.html>

The Jews

Despite their small population, Ashkenazi Jews have won more than one quarter of the Westinghouse Science prizes, the Turing Awards, and Fields Medals. 54 percent of the world's chess champions have Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry. Among National Medal of Science recipients, 37 percent have Ashkenazi Jewish backgrounds as are 29 percent of US. Jews have won 38 percent of American Nobel laureates in physics, 42 percent of Nobel laureates in medicine or physiology, and 28 percent of US. prize winners in chemistry. In the United States, Ashkenazi Jews comprise 33 percent of the student body and faculty at Ivy League and other elite universities, 30 percent of the US. Supreme Court law clerks.

Albert Einstein gave us the theory of relativity [72](#) [73](#) but he failed to come up with a more unified theory (many people have since tried and failed).

Ashkenazi Jewish achievements is not only limited to intellectual pursuits, but also endeavors where having a higher intelligence is an advantage such as business and commerce. While some of the Jewish domination can be explained by in-group loyalty it is very insufficient as a complete explanation.

According to unreliable sources the Ashkenazi-jews have very high logical and linguistic iq while their spatial iq is a bit lacking [74](#)

The Jews are not a single race, they are very diverse and it is only the ashkenazi Jews that have good genes, the rest of the Jews are just useless eaters. The flaw in judaism is that an individual is considered to be a jew iff his/her mother is a jew and genetically this makes no sense and the result is a multiracial/raceless identity group.

Since there are some issues with the current elite we need a new better elite that will out-compete the Jews that doesn't belong to the jew elite. The Jew Ludwig Von Mises has contributed a lot to our understanding of economics and the issues states have, he has proven that communism cannot work. The Ashkenazi Jews have managed to remain as a distinct genetic group for thousands of years, their psychology has been shaped by them living as a minority for a long time, they seem to prefer multiracial societies since it takes attention away from them and this explains why a lot of Jews favor mass immigration of Muslims that hate Jews.

Ashkenazi-jews in the USA and many other countries are now assimilating into the larger culture and they no longer restrict themselves sexually to other Jews(50% mix themselves with whites), if this continues the genetic part of the Jewish question will be solved, not by fake shower rooms but simply the fact that the US population doesn't hate Jews and accepts them into their society.

Israel is also very far from being an ideal nationalistic country

- no genetic test is required to become an Israeli citizen.
- being married to a jew is enough to become a citizen.
- no iq-test is required to become citizen.
- Israel has not expanded its borders much besides stealing land from the palestinians.
- arabic Jews dominate the Israeli population resulting in an average iq of just 97.
- genital mutilation (mostly of boys) is rampant and permitted by the government.
- religious extremism is spreading like cancer.
- the Age of consent is way too high.

Thus, Israel isn't a good place for ashkenazi Jews, it's a very bad place for them. While judaism is a very racist religion it is unfortunately very primitive and not compatible with science, defining Jewishness after motherhood is just stupid and the pedophile mutilation ritual "Metzitzah b'peh" is just wrong on all levels.

The general concept in judaism is to do what's best for the Jews but unfortunately for the Jews judaism is a very primitive religion not adapted for our modern society and knowledge. Some more intelligent Jews have instead adapted a scientific but still artificial morality such as libertarianism.

Preserving and building wealth

Government (fiat) money loses value over time but it's still better than spending the money without doing a proper analysis. Cryptocurrencies & stocks have done well in the past, it's easy to buy/sell but of course you will have to be careful.

If you are going to invest your money there are a few good rules to follow

1. make sure you will be able to sell very quickly without difficulties
2. don't make yourself vulnerable to the decisions of other people.
3. don't buy unless you are happy with the price you are paying
4. don't sell unless you get a good price or need the money.

Be careful about things you are passionate about, a lot of people lose money investing into something they are passionate about such as a crowdfunding project.

The issue with investing into physical things is that these are generally messy to sell and you will often end up losing money on each transactions, sure it may have some value in the case of an emergency but it's still very far from ideal.

If you are going to invest in stocks the best strategy is just do spread it out, do not overthink it by trying to find some great deal. If you actually want to get rich you should simply make sure you are in full control over a company and this will allow you to make a lot of money if you are a great leader.

- Seek wealth, not money or status. Wealth is having assets that earn while you sleep. Money is how we transfer time and wealth. Status is your place in the social hierarchy.
- Understand that ethical wealth creation is possible. If you secretly despise wealth, it will elude you.
- Ignore people playing status games. They gain status by attacking people playing wealth creation games.
- You're not going to get rich renting out your time. You must own equity - a piece of a business - to gain your financial freedom.
- You will get rich by giving society what it wants but does not yet know how to get. At scale.
- Pick an industry where you can play long term games with long term people.
- The Internet has massively broadened the possible space of careers. Most people haven't figured this out yet.
- Play iterated games. All the returns in life, whether in wealth, relationships, or knowledge, come from compound interest.
- Pick business partners with high intelligence, energy, and, above all, integrity.
- Don't partner with cynics and pessimists. Their beliefs are self-fulfilling.
- Learn to sell. Learn to build. If you can do both, you will be unstoppable.
- Arm yourself with specific knowledge, accountability, and leverage
- Specific knowledge is knowledge that you cannot be trained for. If society can train you, it can train someone else, and replace you.
- Specific knowledge is found by pursuing your genuine curiosity and passion rather than whatever is hot right now.
- Building specific knowledge will feel like play to you but will look like work to others.
- When specific knowledge is taught, it's through apprenticeships, not schools.
- Specific knowledge is often highly technical or creative. It cannot be outsourced or automated.
- Embrace accountability, and take business risks under your own name. Society will reward you with responsibility, equity, and leverage.
- The most accountable people have singular, public, and risky brands: Oprah, Trump, Kanye, Elon.
- "Give me a lever long enough, and a place to stand, and I will move the earth." - Archimedes
- Fortunes require leverage. Business leverage comes from capital, people, and products with no marginal cost of replication (code and media).

- Capital means money. To raise money, apply your specific knowledge, with accountability, and show resulting good judgment.
- Labor means people working for you. It's the oldest and most fought-over form of leverage. Labor leverage will impress your parents, but don't waste your life chasing it.
- Capital and labor are permissioned leverage. Everyone is chasing capital, but someone has to give it to you. Everyone is trying to lead, but someone has to follow you.
- Code and media are permissionless leverage. They're the leverage behind the newly rich. You can create software and media that works for you while you sleep.
- An army of robots is freely available - it's just packed in data centers for heat and space efficiency. Use it.
- If you can't code, write books and blogs, record videos and podcasts.
- Leverage is a force multiplier for your judgement.
- Judgement requires experience, but can be built faster by learning foundational skills.
- There is no skill called "business." Avoid business magazines and business classes.
- Study microeconomics, game theory, psychology, persuasion, ethics, mathematics, and computers.
- Reading is faster than listening. Doing is faster than watching.
- You should be too busy to "do coffee," while still keeping an uncluttered calendar.
- Set and enforce an aspirational personal hourly rate. If fixing a problem will save less than your hourly rate, ignore it. If outsourcing a task will cost less than your hourly rate, outsource it.
- Work as hard as you can. Even though who you work with and what you work on are more important than how hard you work.
- Become the best in the world at what you do. Keep redefining what you do until this is true.
- There are no get rich quick schemes. That's just someone else getting rich off you.
- Apply specific knowledge, with leverage, and eventually you will get what you deserve
- When you're finally wealthy, you'll realize that it wasn't what you were seeking in the first place. But that's for another day.

Nice guys finish last

Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, Vol 99(2), Aug 2010, 303-310 "An initial study investigating tolerance of group members who abuse a public good surprisingly showed that unselfish members (those who gave much toward the provision of the good but then used little of the good) were also targets for expulsion from the group...A fourth study suggested that the target is seen by some as establishing an undesirable behavior standard and by others as a rule breaker. Individuals who formed either perception expressed a desire for the unselfish person to be removed from the group."

To put it in simpler terms, being altruistic and friendly is just as likely to cause people to reject you as those who are completely selfish and purely look out for their needs only. The study found no significant difference. This contradicts the mainstream advice that having a "good personality" will cause people, including women, to like you. They will hate you just as much as someone who practically steals from them. This is also reflected in the workplace, as "agreeable" men (peaceful and friendly) are paid significantly less than their disagreeable counterparts.

"Overall, across the first three studies, men who are one standard deviation below the mean on agreeableness earn an average of 18.31% (\$9,772) more than men one standard deviation above the

mean on agreeableness. Meanwhile, the “disagreeableness premium” for women was only 5.47% (\$1,828). Thus, the income premium for disagreeableness is more than three times stronger for men than for women."

So as we could see, the price of being nice when you are a man is a staggering 18% of your income throughout life. It is also far stronger in males than females. So this means that nice men in the first study would have been rejected even more often than the nice women. This suggests that nice men are rejected more often than men who are completely selfish and practically steal off of everyone. Now, let us focus more on the effects of being "mean" or "evil" on attracting a woman. "A Billion Wicked Thoughts: What the World's Largest Experiment Reveals about Human Desire" is a book by two neuroscientists that combines countless research by Alfred Kinsey and experiments found on the internet that has a data on over half a billion people to see what are the raw sexual desires of humanity. The book quotes quite a few unnerving conclusions of the sexuality of women based on many individual experiments:

"It turns out that killing people is an effective way to elicit the attention of many women: virtually every serial killer, including Ted Bundy, Charles Manson, and David Berkowitz, have received love letters from large numbers of female fans" (p. 98).

"[Their] inner cavewoman knows Doormat Man would become Sabertooth Tiger Lunch in short order" (p. 97).

Psychology Today had an article that confirmed and analyzed how women desire men who are violent, mean, and show criminal behavior, with much thanks to the book mentioned above.

"women demonstrate a strong erotic preference for dominant men. Or toward what's now commonly referred to as alpha males—in the authors' words, men who are 'strong, confident, [and] swaggering [as in 'cocky,' and the pun is intended].' Unfortunately, what these descriptors often imply is behavior sufficiently bearish, self-centered, and insensitive as to often cross the line into a physical, mental, and emotional abuse that can be downright brutal.

"there's something in their native wiring that makes a great many of them susceptible to 'bad boys.'"

"many women (at least secretly, or subliminally) can't help but be drawn toward cold-blooded, controlling, 'bad boys' whose dominance symbolizes quite the opposite of what in relationships they're consciously seeking."

"many women experience as enticing the idea of surrendering to a powerful male figure because of its very riskiness. Curiously, such an acutely felt threat can actually be eroticized by women's minds into exceptional sexual excitement so compelling that (at least on a fantasy level) it's almost irresistible."

[75](#) [76](#) [77](#) [78](#)

About political activism

If you care about our society you might end up ruining your own future in a futile attempt to improve the world, this is what you get for being a 'good' person not prioritizing your own wellbeing. If you had put more effort into your own life you could have reached a position where you are actually able to efficiently change the world for the better. You may still want to be politically active for it's therapeutic effect, fighting for what you believe in will make you happier and you will also function better.

People that join political organisations will very frequently be taken advantage of, people that neglect their own well-being can be milked for money and they are often manipulated into supporting what other people want instead of looking at how they want to change the world. People will often tell themselves they agree with everything the cult stand for when in reality they just got manipulated.

Decentralized political activism

The failures of the National Alliance after Pierce died has shown us that the concept of an “elite vanguard” is nonsense, Pierce failed to attract people of good enough quality in order for his organisation to survive after he died and everything the organization did(including video game development) can and has been done a lot better outside the National Alliance.

Any armed resistance must consist of lone wolves and small cells 79

Centralized resistance is only possible when the government is weak enough to allow it, even in that case it may not be very efficient and it's only required for winning elections.

Building an elite organization will not really work, organizations tend to attract low to medium quality people, the best among us will not accept being controlled by anyone. Even without any government interference decentralized resistance will still be a lot more efficient. Central control is always very inefficient compared to a competitive environment where people exchange ideas freely without having to follow any leader.

The concept of Leaderless Resistance was proposed by Col. Ulius Louis Amoss, who was the founder of International Service of Information Incorporated, located in Baltimore, Maryland. Col. Amoss died more than fifteen years ago, but during his life was a tireless opponent of communism, as well as a skilled Intelligence Officer. Col. Amoss first wrote of Leaderless Resistance on April 17, 1962. His theories of organization were primarily directed against the threat of eventual Communist take-over in the United States. The present writer, with the benefit of having lived many years beyond Col. Amoss, has taken his theories and expounded upon them. Col. Amoss feared the Communists. This author fears the federal government. Communism now represents a threat to no one in the United States, while federal tyranny represents a threat to everyone. The writer has joyfully lived long enough to see the dying breaths of communism, but may, unhappily, remain long enough to see the last gasps of freedom in America.

In the hope that, somehow, America can still produce the brave sons and daughters necessary to fight off ever-increasing persecution and oppression, this essay is offered. Frankly, it is too close to call at this point. Those who love liberty, and believe in freedom enough to fight for it are rare today, but within the bosom of every once great nation, there remains secreted, the pearls of former greatness. They are there. I have looked into their sparking eyes; sharing a brief moment in time with them as I passed through this life. Relished their friendship, endured their pain, and they mine. We are a band of brothers, native to the soil gaining strength one from another as we have rushed head long into a battle that all the weaker, timid men, say we can not win. Perhaps...but then again, perhaps we can. It's not over till the last freedom fighter is buried or imprisoned, or the same happens to those who would destroy their freedom.

Barring any cataclysmic events, the struggle will yet go on for years. The passage of time will make it clear to even the more slow among us that the government is the foremost threat to the life, and liberty of the folk. The government will no doubt make today's oppressiveness look like grade school work compared to what they have planned in the future. Meanwhile, there are those of us who continue to

hope that somehow the few can do what the many have not. We are cognizant that before things get better they will certainly get worse as government shows a willingness to use ever more severe police state measures against dissidents. This changing situation makes it clear that those who oppose state repression must be prepared to alter, adapt, and modify their behavior, strategy, and tactics as circumstances warrant. Failure to consider new methods and implement them as necessary will make the government's efforts at suppression uncomplicated. It is the duty of every patriot to make the tyrant's life miserable. When one fails to do so he not only fails himself, but his people.

With this in mind, current methods of resistance to tyranny employed by those who love our race, culture, and heritage must pass a litmus test of soundness. Methods must be objectively measured as to their effectiveness, as well as to whether they make the government's intention of repression more possible or more difficult. Those not working to aid our objectives must be discarded or the government benefits from our failure to do so.

As honest men who have banded together into groups or associations of a political or religious nature are falsely labeled "domestic terrorists" or "cultists" and suppressed, it will become necessary to consider other methods of organization--or as the case may very well call for: non-organization. One should keep in mind that it is not in the government's interest to eliminate all groups. Some few must remain in order to perpetuate the smoke and mirrors vision for the masses that America is a "free democratic country" where dissent is allowed. Most organizations, however, that possess the potential for effective resistance will not be allowed to continue. Anyone who is so naive as to believe the most powerful government on earth will not crush any who pose a real threat to that power, should not be active, but rather, at home studying political history.

The question as to who is to be left alone and who is not, will be answered by how groups and individuals deal with several factors such as: avoidance of conspiracy plots, rejection of feeble minded malcontents, insistence upon quality of the participants, avoidance of all contact with the front men for the federals--the news media--and, finally, camouflage (which can be defined as the ability to blend in the public's eye the more committed groups of resistance with mainstream "kosher" associations that are generally seen as harmless.) Primarily though, whether any organization is allowed to continue in the future will be a matter of how big a threat a group represents. Not a threat in terms of armed might or political ability, for there is none of either for the present, but rather, threat in terms of potentiality. It is potential the federals fear most. Whether that potential exists in an individual or group is incidental. The federals measure potential threat in terms of what might happen given a situation conducive to action on the part of a restive organization or individual. Accurate intelligence gathering allows them to assess the potential. Showing one's hand before the bets are made, is a sure way to lose.

The movement for freedom is rapidly approaching the point where for many people, the option of belonging to a group will be nonexistent. For others, group membership will be a viable option for only the immediate future. Eventually, and perhaps much sooner than most believe possible, the price paid for membership will exceed any perceived benefit. But for now, some groups that do exist often serve a useful purpose either for the newcomer who can be indoctrinated into the ideology of the struggle, or for generating positive propaganda to reach potential freedom fighters. It is sure that, for the most part, this struggle is rapidly becoming a matter of individual action, each of its participants making a private decision in the quietness of his heart to resist: to resist by any means necessary. It is hard to know what others will do, for no man truly knows another man's heart. It is enough to know what one himself will do. A great teacher once said "know thyself." Few men really do, but let each of us, promise ourselves, not to go quietly to the fate our would-be masters have planned.

The concept of Leaderless Resistance is nothing less than a fundamental departure in theories of organization. The orthodox scheme of organization is diagrammatically represented by the pyramid, with the mass at the bottom and the leader at the top. This fundamental of organization is to be seen not only in armies, which are of course, the best illustration of the pyramid structure, with the mass of soldiery, the privates, at the bottom responsible to corporals who are in turn responsible to sergeants, and so on up the entire chain of command to the generals at the top. But the same structure is seen in corporations, ladies' garden clubs and in our political system itself. This orthodox "pyramid" scheme of organization is to be seen basically in all existing political, social and religious structures in the world today from the Federal government to the Roman Catholic Church. The Constitution of the United States, in the wisdom of the Founders, tried to sublimate the essential dictatorial nature of pyramidal organization by dividing authority into three: executive, legislative and judicial. But the pyramid remains essentially untouched.

This scheme of organization, the pyramid, is however, not only useless, but extremely dangerous for the participants when it is utilized in a resistance movement against state tyranny. Especially is this so in technologically advanced societies where electronic surveillance can often penetrate the structure revealing its chain of command. Experience has revealed over and over again that anti-state, political organizations utilizing this method of command and control are easy prey for government infiltration, entrapment, and destruction of the personnel involved. This has been seen repeatedly in the United States where pro-government infiltrators or agent provocateurs weasel their way into patriotic groups and destroy them from within.

In the pyramid type of organization, an infiltrator can destroy anything which is beneath his level of infiltration and often those above him as well. If the traitor has infiltrated at the top, then the entire organization from the top down is compromised and may be traduced at will.

An alternative to the pyramid type of organization is the cell system. In the past, many political groups (both right and left) have used the cell system to further their objectives. Two examples will suffice. During the American Revolution "committees of correspondence" were formed throughout the Thirteen colonies.

Their purpose was to subvert the government and thereby aid the cause of independence. The "Sons of Liberty", who made a name for themselves dumping government taxed tea into the harbor at Boston, were the action arm of the committees of correspondence. Each committee was a secret cell that operated totally independently of the other cells. Information on the government was passed from committee to committee, from colony to colony, and then acted upon on a local basis. Yet even in these bygone days of poor communication, of weeks to months for a letter to be delivered, the committees without any central direction whatsoever, were remarkable similar in tactics employed to resist government tyranny. It was, as the first American patriots knew, totally unnecessary for anyone to give an order for anything. Information was made available to each committee, and each committee acted as it saw fit. A recent example of the cell system taken from the left wing of politics are the Communists. The Communist, in order to get around the obvious problems involved in pyramidal organization, developed to an art the cell system. They had numerous independent cells which operated completely isolated from one another and particularly with no knowledge of each other, but were orchestrated together by a central headquarters. For instance, during World War II, in Washington, it is known that there were at least six secret Communist cells operating at high levels in the United States government (plus all the open Communists who were protected and promoted by President Roosevelt), however, only one of the cells was rooted out and destroyed. How many more actually were operating no one can say for sure.

The Communist cells which operated in the U.S until late 1991 under Soviet control could have at their command a leader, who held a social position which appeared to be very lowly. He could be, for example, a busboy in a restaurant, but in reality a colonel or a general in the Soviet Secret Service, the KGB. Under him could be a number of cells and a person active in one cell would almost never have knowledge of individuals who are active in another cell. The value of this is that while any one cell can be infiltrated, exposed or destroyed, such action will have no effect on the other cells; in fact, the members of the other cells will be supporting that cell which is under attack and ordinarily would lend very strong support to it in many ways. This is at least part of the reason, no doubt, that whenever in the past Communists were attacked in this country, support for them sprang up in many unexpected places.

The efficient and effective operation of a cell system after the Communist model, is of course, dependent upon central direction, which means impressive organization, funding from the top, and outside support, all of which the Communists had. Obviously, American patriots have none of these things at the top or anywhere else, and so an effective cell organization based upon the Soviet system of operation is impossible.

Two things become clear from the above discussion. First, that the pyramid type of organization can be penetrated quite easily and it thus is not a sound method of organization in situations where the government has the resources and desire to penetrate the structure; which is the situation in this country. Secondly, that the normal qualifications for the cell structure based upon the Red model does not exist in the U.S. for patriots. This understood, the question arises "What method is left for those resisting state tyranny?" The answer comes from Col. Amoss who proposed the "Phantom Cell" mode of organization. Which he described as Leaderless Resistance. A system of organization that is based upon the cell organization, but does not have any central control or direction, that is in fact almost identical to the methods used by the Committees of Correspondence during the American Revolution. Utilizing the Leaderless Resistance concept, all individuals and groups operate independently of each other, and never report to a central headquarters or single leader for direction or instruction, as would those who belong to a typical pyramid organization.

At first glance, such a type of organization seems unrealistic, primarily because there appears to be no organization. The natural question thus arises as to how are the "Phantom cells" and individuals to cooperate with each other when there is no intercommunication or central direction? The answer to this question is that participants in a program of Leaderless Resistance through phantom cell or individual action must know exactly what they are doing, and how to do it. It becomes the responsibility of the individual to acquire the necessary skills and information as to what is to be done. This is by no means as impractical as it appears, because it is certainly true that in any movement, all persons involved have the same general outlook, are acquainted with the same philosophy, and generally react to given situations in similar ways. The previous history of the committees of correspondence during the American Revolution show this to be true.

Since the entire purpose of Leaderless Resistance is to defeat state tyranny (at least insofar as this essay is concerned), all members of phantom cells or individuals will tend to react to objective events in the same way through usual tactics of resistance. Organs of information distribution such as newspapers, leaflets, computers, etc., which are widely available to all, keep each person informed of events, allowing for a planned response that will take many variations. No one need issue an order to anyone. Those idealist truly committed to the cause of freedom will act when they feel the time is ripe, or will take their cue from others who precede them. While it is true that much could be said against this type of structure as a method of resistance, it must be kept in mind that Leaderless Resistance is a child of necessity. The alternatives to it have been show to be unworkable or impractical.

Leaderless Resistance has worked before in the American Revolution, and if the truly committed put it to use for themselves, it will work now. It goes almost without saying that Leaderless Resistance leads to very small or even one man cells of resistance. Those who join organizations to play “let's pretend” or who are “groupies” will quickly be weeded out. While for those who are serious about their opposition to federal despotism, this is exactly what is desired.

From the point of view of tyrants and would be potentates in the federal bureaucracy and police agencies, nothing is more desirable than that those who oppose them be UNIFIED in their command structure, and that every person who opposes them belong to a pyramid type group. Such groups and organizations are an easy kill. Especially in light of the fact that the Justice (sic) Department promised in 1987 that there would never be another group that opposed them that they did not have at least one informer in. These federal “friends of government” are intelligence agents. They gather information that can be used at the whim of a federal D.A. to prosecute. The line of battle has been drawn. Patriots are required therefore, to make a conscious decision to either aid the government in its illegal spying, by continuing with old methods of organization and resistance, or to make the enemy's job more difficult by implementing effective countermeasures.

Now there will, no doubt, be mentally handicapped people out there who, while standing at a podium with an American flag draped in the background, and a lone eagle soaring in the sky above, will state emphatically in their best sounding red, white, and blue voice, “So what if the government is spying? We are not violating any laws.” Such crippled thinking by any serious person is the best example that there is a need for special education classes. The person making such a statement is totally out of contact with political reality in this country, and unfit for leadership of any thing more than a dog sleigh in the Alaskan wilderness. The old “Born on the fourth of July” mentality that has influenced so much of the American patriot's thinking in the past will not save him from the government in the future. “Reeducation” for non-thinkers of this type will take place in the federal prison system where there are no flags or eagles, but abundance of men who were “not violating any law.”

Most groups who “unify” their disparate associates into a single structure have short political lives. Therefore, those movement leaders constantly calling for unity of organization rather than the desirable unity of purpose, usually fall into one of three categories.

They may not be sound political tacticians, but rather, just committed men who feel unity would help their cause, while not realizing that the government would greatly benefit from such efforts. The Federal objective, to imprison or destroy all who oppose them, is made easier in pyramid organizations. Or perhaps, they do not fully understand the struggle they are involved in and that the government they oppose has declared a state of war against those fighting for faith, folk, freedom and constitutional liberty. Those in power will use any means to rid themselves of opposition. The third class calling for unity and let us hope this is the minority of the three, are men more desirous of the supposed power that a large organization would bestow, than of actually achieving their stated purpose.

Conversely, the last thing Federal snoops would have, if they had any choice in the matter, is a thousand different small phantom cells opposing them. It is easy to see why. Such a situation is an intelligence nightmare for a government intent upon knowing everything they possibly can about those who oppose them. The Federals, able to amass overwhelming strength of numbers, manpower, resources, intelligence gathering, and capability at any given time, need only a focal point to direct their anger. A single penetration of a pyramid type of organization can lead to the destruction of the whole. Whereas, Leaderless Resistance presents no single opportunity for the Federals to destroy a significant portion of the Resistance.

With the announcement by the Department of Justice (sic) that 300 FBI agents formerly assigned to watching Soviet spies in the US (domestic counter intelligence) are now to be used to “combat crime,” the federal government is preparing the way for a major assault upon those persons opposed to their policies. Many anti-government groups dedicated to the preservation of the America of our forefathers can expect shortly to feel the brunt of a new federal assault upon liberty.

It is clear, therefore, that it is time to rethink traditional strategy and tactics when it comes to opposing a modern police state. America is quickly moving into a long dark night of police state tyranny, where the rights now accepted by most as being inalienable will disappear. Let the coming night be filled with a thousand points of resistance. Like the fog which forms when conditions are right and disappears when they are not, so must the resistance to tyranny be.

About political terrorism

Political terrorism can only be done effectively by lone wolves and small cells, if the organisation is too big it's likely that someone will spoil everything (often unintentionally) and after that the government will be able to track everyone down, one good example of failure is “the order”.

Brutal violence and threat of it can be effective but it requires great personal sacrifice, both Anders Behring Breivik and Brenton Tarrant are very likely to be stuck in jail until the die without having had a single child, their genes will be lost.

Most terrorists will fail to come even close to the amount of killed Breivik or Tarrant reached, in many cases not a single individual is killed because the wannabe terrorist is stopped early. In the cases where a lot of individuals are killed the results are usually not as intended, it's very difficult to predict what the results of the attack will be, both Breivik and Tarrant got their predictions wrong. One alternative to instant killing is to take hostages and demand political change or they will die, most likely the government will let them die.

How to actually make the world a better place

Instead of focusing on trying to change the government you may want to focus on things that actually produce clear real world results

- convincing incels to go trans.
- making females pregnant (like Charles Lindbergh).
- selecting a male of high quality to make you pregnant.
- contributing to science (like Charles Darwin).
- producing high quality entertainment.

About immaterial property

It can be very fulfilling to create something new such as a videogame or a new novel. Maybe you will also be able to improve existing games or get inspiration from a current fictional story. By creating culture you are also able to influence people, this is much more effective than trying to argue with the masses, storytelling is a very powerful way to influence people. The development of AM2R was stopped by Nintendo despite the fact that it was very different from the old game.



The main reason to publish material should be to make it available for future lives and to experience the joy of creating culture. Patents currently lasts 20 years and you will be required to publish all important details on how your invention works and this is sensible. Currently copyright lasts 70 years after all content creators is dead and this is insanity. The following reforms need to be made

- no software patents.

- copyright should last at most 30 years, preferable 20 years.

- copyright laws should not cover closed source software.

Currently copyright laws inhibits creativity by making it illegal to do improved versions of 100 year old work, the only winners with the current laws is a few companies with a lot of old material.

Complete new concepts is rarely invented, most ideas is mostly previous ideas merged or modified.

Innovation is mostly about incremental improvements, competitively new concepts is rarely invented.

Patents generally results in a high technology society where a few companies dominates. Patents may results in quicker technological advancements but we have to ask ourself if we really want the technology that is being developed via patents. Patents gives a company a monopoly protected by the government, this benefit big companies that have the resources needed to fight other companies in the court.

About high technology

Ted Kaczynski wrote an interesting [manifesto](#) about the downsides with technology and a lot of what he wrote is true. Technology and socialism currently makes is very easy to survive but it will be difficult in the future, the population of humans has been increased exponentially and eventually it needs to end. Ted kaczynski has since his terrorism published additional books [80](#) [81](#)

One important factor Kaczynski does not mention is the fact that the difficulty of getting a female has increased with the technological advancements, when females no longer need men they get more picky and a lot of unattractive men get weeded out of the gene pool.

For a long time the unfit masses has been able to reproduce without major difficulties but this is changing now as females are raising their standards. With female sexual selection you simply rely on previous cruel natural selection that have shaped which males females select for.

With technology, it is easier for a few individuals to get a lot more power, technology makes armed resistance a lot more difficult and fewer people will be needed to keep up the government.

Advanced technology is a huge advantage in wars and we saw this in the Israel-Palestine conflict. With high technology you can kill your enemies in massive numbers with minimal casualties.

Advanced technology and science has made it possible to understand the world in a way that previously was impossible, this religion could never have been created without high technology and science.

Internet is decentralized in theory and allows for people that are interested to find a lot of good information, unfortunately not many people have the will and intelligence to separate the facts from the fiction. Internet has changes the playing field but it remains to be seen if internet will bring down the current system or if it only will make it worse.

Internet allows us to be anonymous but it also allows for the government and companies to collect information about most people easily, being fully anonymous on the internet requires skill and discipline most people lack. In 2016 the ZK-snarks technology was finally good enough to allow anonymous messages and value transactions.

Technology has allowed humans to exterminate many species and hunt others to the brink of extinctions, a lot of wale species was almost exterminated due to whaling, before high technology hunting big whales was impossible at any significant scale.

Nature & environment

Unfortunately due to human overpopulation wildlife suffers and species gets exterminated in record numbers, these species will probably be lost forever which is a shame, it will take millions of years for nature to recover from the damage done by humans the last 100 years.

This neglect of our environment and sick focus on human lives has is a result from spiritual sickness, people fail to understand that they will suffer in future lives for their sins against nature. Often hunting is justified by stating that the population of the hunted animal is too big but in reality only humans needs to be hunted currently, we must consider hunting other humans instead of wildlife for meat.

You may want to live as a lion or tiger in a future live but if these animals are exterminated that will not be possible, today there are more tigers in captivity(as pets) than in the wildlife and maybe the tiger will not even survive in the wild, a truly sad development. Some species such as orcas and sperm whales have much bigger brains than humans [82](#) [83](#)

Climate change

Environmental issues often needs to be dealt with on a global scale and the issue of anthropogenic global warming is no exception, we are in a situation similar to the prisoners dilemma where the end result is likely to be catastrophic global warming.

[84](#) [85](#) [86](#) [87](#) [88](#)


Thus we need to use military might to force a global reduction of CO2 emissions, a thermonuclear war is preferable over catastrophic global warming that will be far more expensive than the cost of reducing emissions.

Madman theory

If you have nuclear weapons but it's obvious you are unwilling to actually pull the trigger and killing millions of people other countries will not take you seriously, for this reason both Trump and Nixon tried to play crazy but it didn't really work.

You need to demonstrate you are indeed crazy enough to launch nukes or other countries will not respect you.

This can be applied to many situation, when people now you will not do anything crazy they will not respect you and people are likely to take advantage over you since you are afraid of conflict.



Empty threats can sometimes work out but usually it doesn't and you may end up in serious troubles.

Military might and defense

The goal shall be to build an empire and eventually take over the entire planet. For this you need

- actual professional soldiers getting a proper payment.
- powerful nuclear weapons
- places where people can take shelter in the case of a nuclear war.
- ability to intercept nuclear missiles.
- powerful conventional equipment for scenarios where you cannot rely on nuclear weapons.

Imperialism and globalism

Having a small country results in you being vulnerable to the vims of larger countries, you might think you will get more independence when small but in reality you will just become powerless.

Some issues such as global warming and pollution needs to be tackled globally, we cannot let countries all over the world destroy the environment, we can do it ourselves but we cannot let other countries do the same.

We shall expand our borders and dominate the world, we should rule the entire planet. We need to build a strong military alliance allowing us to take over the world.

Space colonization


The next step is to also colonize other planet, this will require radical genetic engineering, having to rely on advanced technology isn't sustainable, it would require constant support from earth which isn't possible for planet outside our solar system.

All life on earth will eventually go extinct due to the sun becoming increasingly active, any life on mars would extinct eventually due to the sun becoming a red giant and later a brown dwarf.

Interplanetary reincarnation

It is impossible to know how your next life will be, you might end up living as the opposite gender of even on another planet.

It is very difficult to know how life on other planets would be but the research on the island Madagascar suggest it would have similarities with life on Earth, in Madagascar an animal called fossa has evolved into having many similarities with cats despite belonging to the Eupleridae family, a family of carnivorans closely related to the mongoose family (Herpestidae).



The number of lives you live as a human is finite, eventually humans will to extinct or evolve beyond recognition. Before there were many humans most of us lived as other animals or organisms on other planets. [6.5%](#) of the humans ever lived are alive today.

The number of intelligent animals also varies with time, there was a time when no intelligent life existed on Earth and for vintologi to be correct interplanetary reincarnation is needed.

An intelligent extraterrestrial organism will most likely have males and females like humans and other mammals, hermaphrodite life is a possibility but not likely, even less likely is some exotic solution such as 25% males, 50% hermaphrodites and 25% females. Evolution does not lead to the optimal results since each change needs to be benefit for survival and replication, the eyes human have is worse than the ones of many animals but evolution will not give us better eyes since we are stuck in a dead end, only genetic engineering can give us that.

The probability to reincarnate into a different planet is infinitesimal unless there is a shortage of brains to reincarnate into on Earth for a long period of time(thousands to billions of years). Cases where children have memories of living on another planet is very rare and impossible to verify, cases where children have memories of being animals is much more common but very difficult to verify. There is some very interesting cases about children having past life memories, often these children talk about having a violent early death, they died early with unfinished business. I am not confident that the children actually remember past life memories in any of these cases [89](#) [90](#) [91](#) [92](#)

Our universe

If no new big bang were to take place in the future Boltzmann Brains could still emerge via quantum fluctuations [93](#) [94](#) thus you would be infinite times more likely to have a conscious experience in a Boltzmann brain than an actual biological brain given infinite time.

Current research suggests that dark energy is getting stronger and if true our universe will end via the big rip allowing for a new universe to emerge with different constants of nature [95](#)

We can also conclude that our universe must be finite in size, recent observations point to our universe being closed but it's still vastly bigger than the observable universe [96](#) [97](#)

In conformal cyclic cosmology it is assumed that the universe will become totally massless resulting in the universe forgetting how big it is and a new big bang will follow [98](#) [99](#) [100](#)

The apparent fine-tuning of 'fundamental' constants of nature suggests that our current universe hasn't been the only universe.

Classical theories of consciousness

If consciousness are just classical computations there is nothing preventing your consciousness to be copied, it's unclear what that would be like assuming it's even logically possible.

If we assume a cyclic universe a sufficiently similar brain structure to your old brain would emerge an infinite amount of time and thus you would reincarnate an infinite amount of time from a given point and thus your conscious experiences would branch out like a tree. Your consciousness experience now would be the continuation of an infinite amount of conscious experiences from the past.

Thus there wouldn't actually be separate souls, instead they would all be connected mathematically via a web of continuations and you having a conscious experience in just one body would be an illusion.

Free will

Consciousness as an emergent property from classical computations would not allow for free will and would also make it possible to copy the consciousness or uploading it into a powerful enough computer. Free will is simply indeterminism meaning the outcome cannot be known in advance, quantum mechanics seem to work in a such indeterminate manner [101](#)

Free will can be conscious or unconscious. When conscious actions are not deterministic there is conscious free will, it means that the action taken will be truly random for any outside observer.

Quantum theories of consciousness

Quantum information cannot be copied or destroyed, only transferred, thus you cannot copy or destroy a quantum soul, it can only be transferred.

You cannot measure a quantum soul since a quantum state will collapse when you try to measure it.

One quantum theory of consciousness is “orch or” [102](#) [103](#) it was developed by Roger Penrose and Stuart Hameroff, there are many issues with orch or and similar approaches [104](#)

Roger Penrose has suggested that the collapse of the wave function(quantum mechanics) occurs by itself after a time depending on how large the separation in space-time is. There are many issues with the “orch or” theory. “orch or” builds on the “penrose interpretation” of quantum mechanics which hasn't been confirmed yet experimentally, it is very likely that the “orch or” interpretation in particular is wrong.

When we understand consciousness we will also understand reincarnation(if possible) and also understand ourselves better. Maybe the lemmings are people where true consciousness failed to develop, I have never been a lemming so I do not know. In any case I doubt this hard problem will ever be solved in our current corrupt society, even if someone comes up with the solution the other people will be too stupid to see it as the solution(same with other fields in science).

Interestingly Roger Penrose's interpretation of quantum mechanics implies pantheism since the self-collapse of the wavefunction would happen everywhere.

Generally the problem with orch or is that it might not be possible to have quantum computations of that scale at that temperature, Hameroff mentioned that a spin has properties required to work on large scale. Even if quantum computations on large scale(brain) isn't possible in normal temperatures it does not mean that quantum computations don't happen in the brain.

Recent advancement in AI has shown that artificial (classical) neural network can outperform all humans on earth at specific tasks, we may not actually need quantum computations for general intelligence either.

[105](#) [106](#) [107](#) [108](#) [109](#)

A molecule capable of creating quantum entanglement in the brain was found 2015

<http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.05929>

Quantum entanglement is a very strange quantum mechanical effect where the measurement of one particle affects the state of the entangled particle directly(faster than the speed of light), which would allow quantum cognition in the brain.

Special relativity and reincarnation

You cannot reincarnate anywhere in your past light-cone. Thus if you reincarnate to another planet it will be a time where light from that planet cannot reach your planet until the time where you died.

What you do in a future life isn't allowed to affect a past life in any way.

It is assumed that your conscious experience is linked to a time-irreversible collapse of the wavefunction.

Solution1

You can only reincarnate into a future lightcone. Thus your conscious experience now must be the continuation of a conscious experience in your past lightcone. This can be explained by your consciousness being tied to a particular quantum wavefunction.

Thus the collapse of the wavefunction must be linked to a specific collapse of the wavefunction of the past lightcone resulting in the continuation of your conscious experience.

This may theoretically result in a wavefunction not collapsing since there was no matching last collapse in the past lightcone, this will quickly change since a matching past lightcone will become available in your past lightcone as you move forward in time.

This will also result in "spooky action at a distance" similar to the quantum entanglement problem since you can only reincarnate into a single future brain.

Solution2

You can reincarnate into the future and this can be outside your lightcone. The past is what's determined and the future is not determined.

This allows for instant reincarnation to a location 10 billion lightyears from your current location, this can both be both forward and backward in time depending on your reference system.

The body switch effect

It follows logically that there is a nonzero probability that you end up reincarnating even though your body didn't actually die, the probability for this is greater with solution2 since there isn't any time delay.

If you were to switch body you may not actually notice it since you may end up only with the memories of your new body and thus you wouldn't be able to tell you only have only controlled your current body for a year.

Reincarnating as a human

If it's impossible to reincarnate as a human child at least one intermediate incarnation would be required such as a Boltzmann brain.

For solution2 an intermediate Boltzmann brain would have to emerge somewhere in the universe, for solution1 it would be restricted to an area expanding 299792458m/s .

An intermediate brain may also emerge via an already existing human brain but instead of a permanent switch it would only be temporary, you would quickly lose control over the body you got for a limited time.

About past life memories

No information can be transferred to a brain outside the future lightcone and memories cannot magically be transferred to a brain within the future lightcone either, you may still be more likely to reincarnate into a brain that already have similar memories as your old brain but it may not be required.

There is no known mechanism in physics that would allow for actual memory transfer directly from an old dying brain to a new healthy brain. Thus given our current understanding of physics any past-life memory must have already been in place before the incarnation takes place.

The continuation of your conscious experience requires few if any of your memories, it only requires a sufficiently similar mental state to be recreated and even if some memories were to be kept these are very likely to be lost later due to how children develop neurologically.

Children with past life memories is often very similar with the person they remember being in the previous life, so far past life memories have not been proven to be real in a single case.

A unified theory of physics is needed

Our current technology for doing experiments is very good but unfortunately there is no theory that explains our observations properly, instead several theories such as general relativity and quantum field theory have to be used and these theories are incompatible with each other.

In addition no current theory of physics explains 85% of the apparent mass “dark energy”.

A fundamental theory of physics would tell us to which degree dimensionless constants can change (such as after a new big bang), it's very likely that the true fundamental constants are far fewer than the current known constants in the standard models that cannot be theoretically derived.

A true fundamental theory would answer questions regarding free will and consciousness, it would tell us how the current universe would end and what the next universe will look like.

Can any god exist?

Whether or not god exists will depend on your definition of god, the following 4 types have not yet been ruled out

0. god in control over quantum indeterminism.
1. god at the big bang.
2. god that exists inside black holes or inside white holes.
3. AI god (superintelligence).
4. genetically engineered god.

A god of type 0 would be very constrained by special relativity, you are not allowed to communicate faster than 299792458m/s, thus even if a single consciousness were in control over most quantum indeterminism it wouldn't allow for anywhere close to effective control.

Gods of type 1 and 2 are cannot currently be ruled out since our well-tested theories of physics break down at this point and there is no generally accepted theory of quantum gravity.

A god of type 1 would no longer have any power once big bang has happened. A god of type 2 would be imprisoned by the black hole and thus made practically powerless by general relativity.

About your personality

Your personality is simply learned behavior and some key neurological traits. One scientific model for personality is the five factor model [110](#) ADHD can be viewed as simply being very low in conscientiousness which is an Executive Function Deficit Disorder [111](#)

Many people today claim that “you should be yourself” and also have detailed standards for acceptable and unacceptable behaviors, this is not a contradiction if you have been shaped by our current societal standards. Our current society has many standards of good and bad that is imposed on us from early age, this is called upbringing or nurture and consists of polluting the brains of young people.

Breivik went from being a geek to a mass murderer and hero. You can also change to the better, in line with who you actually want to be. Ultimately it is you that decide which type of person you want to be, changing can be difficult but with time the new personality will become natural.

What people call immaturity is simply people not yet having conformed to all the standards our society tries to impose upon us. What we have today is conflicting standards which creates confusion and also more real freedom.

Your soul does not have a personality or even gender/race. When you repeat a certain behavior with success (such as talking to an audience) it will become natural for you. How people behave change a lot with time, your free will, genetics and environment determines your personality.

How to manipulate and control people

Humans dislike uncertainty and often convince themselves of some beliefs such as christianity in the absence of evidence and often against existing evidence. When the majority can buy into insane beliefs such as christianity obviously the situation is much worse when it comes to less obvious falsehoods, especially when there is a social incentive to fit with the group.

I would first recommend reading the book “how to win friends and influence people”, that covers how to make sure people like you if that is your goal.

- give them compliments that are genuine.
- do not even criticize people when they do something bad.
- reward good behavior.

When you are in a situation where someone has significant power over you the only viable option is to swallow your pride and also tell what the individual wants to hear.

Humans respect strength, being nice is actually a weakness and people in general do not respect nice guys, they finish last. Brute force methods are generally a lot more effective

- make sure people invest in you, they will have to be nice to you in order to get back what they invested (time/money).
- do not back down if you are in a conflict since these will incentivise behaviour you don't like.
- use punishments to show you are serious.
- punish someone openly to win respect.
- reward people that are loyal to you.

The one being punished may not change his mind but others will, if the penalty is death the opinions of the one getting punished does not matter. When you ban someone from your forum the opinions of the one you banned does not matter. Females generally respond better to punishments than males due to their more submissive nature.

By providing someone with incorrect/misleading information they will make the incorrect decision even if they are intelligent since they applied their reasoning abilities on information that wasn't particularly correct.

Critical thinking

Differentiating true from false is mostly about intelligence and motivation today, in most cases you have all the information to draw the correct conclusion available but often you fail due to lack of intelligence or research. Most people lack the intelligence to detect logical flaws in lies but if you do have that ability it will be much easier to know if something is true and not.

In life, you will have to make a very difficult decision, remain a part of the masses or cut all ties to the typical people. In order to become truly successful you have to cut all ties with ordinary people and be very selective with your friends, maybe you will have to live your life knowing you have no real friends and no-one that you can truly rely on in difficult situations.

About being open minded

A lot of people will try to deceive you in various ways, if you are willing to listen to other people you will end up being taken advantage of, if you instead are unfairly critical to new things you will generally not end up missing much, your life will still be fine.

It takes a lot in terms of time and mental abilities to properly research a subject and thus you need to be selective when it comes to even giving it a fair chance. You also run the risk of deluding yourself when looking into something and thus you may be better off just ignoring it.

About the burden of proof

There is many cases where you as a society need to take claims currently not supported by evidence seriously. If someone threatens to shoot up a school you will have to take that claim very seriously or people might die, the fact that the individual claiming that is very likely to be bullshitting doesn't change the fact that you cannot always discard a claim given without proper evidence.

If we are going to criminalize false speech the burden of proof will be on the prosecutor to prove someone was lying to the detriment of society, thus the burden of proof will be on the one disputing a claim, not the one making the claim.

About the free market of ideas

Modern technology has given a lot of people a platform that shouldn't have a platform, this has resulted in a lot of bad ideas spreading like cancer.

Good ideas will often fail to take hold since most people lack the mental capabilities to recognise these ideas as good.

The advice you get when asking people online will rarely be good, often not a single individual will give a proper answer, they might be convincing but if they are wrong they are wrong.

In many cases such as poker you have an incentive not to misinform people and not to give proper information, if you have information that would give you an advantage over other people you will often benefit from keeping it to yourself.

What we see is the blind leading the blind

- Losing poker players giving each other bad advice (a winning player is unlikely to spend valuable time helping his competition).

- people with poor medical knowledge spreading misinformation thinking they know better than professionals.

-various retarded conspiracy theories spreading like cancer even after being debunked.

People with an ideology will usually spread misinformation for ideological reasons, they might not know it's misinformation themselves. Neonazis typically deny the holocaust and blame jews for 9/11, vegans claim their diet is healthy and that meat is bad for you, you can save time just ignoring these people and assume they lie or are mentally retarded.

Legitimate vs false authority

A majority of the true elite will make the correct conclusion more often than any individual human, thus you benefit from blindly trusting the true elite over thinking for your self.

The challenge is knowing who is the actual elite, you can employ the following strategies for this

1. put a lot of effort into correctly analyzing a given situation, then you compare your correct conclusion to that of so called authorities and see if they also arrived to the same correct conclusion. This is a dangerous strategy of itself since you may end up fooling yourself when doing research of your own.
2. look at what members of this authorities has accomplished in life, have they demonstrated that they have the proper skills?
3. if they authority is elected democratically directly or indirectly they cannot be trusted.
4. the ideal size of the elite is 15 to 999.
5. the members of the elite shall make the decision independently of each other.
6. if they are media they cannot be trusted since they might have an agenda or cater to certain individuals (such as lefties). Even if they have a good agenda they may still have to lie to make sure said agenda gets implemented.
7. if they are interested in getting money from you they cannot be trusted.

There are some youtube channels that provide high quality information for free

[112](#) [113](#) [114](#) [115](#) [116](#) [117](#) [118](#) [119](#)

Ignorance and the lemming mentality

Most people are lemmings, they do not spend time to research important topics, instead they trust some authority and this is of course a lot more convenient. It takes a lot in terms of time and mental abilities to properly research the difficult topics you have to face in life.

When a 'correct' decision isn't rewarded it will not make economic sense to spend the resources needed for the decision-making, some people may still do it but most won't. You cannot expect normal individual to spend time researching austrian economics or biological differences between 'races'.

There is no shortage of examples of humans acting like lemmings, most people believe in a religion proven wrong by science, a lot of people deny the science of biological gender inequality [120](#) [121](#)

Science vs religion

A religion does not have to be incompatible with science but almost all religions are. As science progresses it becomes harder to construct a religion that is fully compatible with the known knowledge and the need for religion to give a (often nonsensical) explanation will decrease.

Darwinian evolution explains how species evolved without the need for a god. Gravity explains how planets orbits the sun without the need for anything supernatural.

Examples of religious beliefs compatible with science are reincarnation, life on other planets and the beliefs that the our universe is one of many.

Vintologi compared to nazism

There are few similarities between nazism and vintologi, even when goals are similar the methods for reaching said goals are very different.

According to creativity(nazism turned into actual religion) this life is the only life and i simply cannot accept this view. There is no valid reasons to believe that this life is the only life, and there are good reasons to assume your current life experience is just a continuation of an infinite series of events.

	Vintologi(darwinian)	Creativity	Christianity
Favored sex		females	males
Absolute morality		race based	suicidal rules
Afterlife	reincarnation		heaven/hell
Target demographic	the genetic elite	whites	all humans
Appealing to	the socially liberal	nazis	the weak
Preferred economic system	capitalism	socialism	
Promotes sex and pleasure	strongly	partly	no
Eugenics	capitalism, sexual selection	by government	opposes all forms of it
Dysgenics	opposes it strongly	via collectivism	promotes it strongly
Abortion, infanticide	accepted		strongly opposed
Contraceptives	banned for members		opposes it in general
Homosexuality	fully accepted	viewed as unnatural	opposed
Diet	Charles Darwin	white people	jesus/god/holy_spook
Logical coherence	9 of 10 (not yet finished)	6 of 10	2 of 10
Helpin the poor	strongly opposed		strongly promoted
Monogamy	opposed	promoted	strongly promoted
Goal	high quality races/tribes	a white only earth	more christians
Enemies shall be	hated	hated	loved
Ashkenazi jews	have valuable genes	just evil	gods choosen people
Circumcision	banned		promoted

The [16 commandments of creativity](#) makes no sense nor should any other statements be considered holy or infallible. Creativity in general is simply too focused on the white race and jews. The religion can be summarized with 2 words “nazi garbage”.

About christianity

Recently the christian sexual morality turned to the worse, the legal age of consent has been increased a lot in many countries. Christians also seem to hate masturbation and porn and often use pseudoscience to justify that insanity [122](#) a lot of male children get's mutilated in the US due to the anti masturbation insanity (it was supposed to stop masturbation but mostly makes sex miserable).

All these problems we face including what I've been researching lately ie. the environment and the population overshoot of useless people is all a combined and accelerated consequence of being tolerant to erroneous and weak-minded whites and enemies for decades upon decades to the point where now; the entire planet and nature is in bad shape with white christians feeding useless people and helping them breed ever more. 200+ species are going extinct every day. The upside of this is that white libs and enemies won't be able to stop the famines and drought that are already well underway! There is only between 350-450 million Europeans on earth, do we really need all the rest of the billions that are destroying habitat on this planet?

Some christian racialists turn to “christian identity”, they argue that whites are the real jews and reject evolution as a jewish lie, that should be enough to stay away from the nonsense but of course we also have general problems with christianity on top of these issues.

We should not view whites as “god’s chosen people” most whites should be discarded as the untermenchen they are, they should be weeded out from the gene pool, they method used for that(Genetic engineering, executions, female sexual selection, starvation, etc) is less important.

Living your life according to a 2000-year-old book that has been translated wrongly several times is retarded, sure some of our old life was better(viking girls marrying at 12) but we can arrive at much better conclusions using science and logic. The concept of holy infallible scriptures itself is really flawed, in science you simply use models and with time you improve the models in order to get a better explanation of reality.

While newtonian physics is good enough for some applications more accurate models exist such as general relativity, in science you do not assume something is 100% correct. Vintologi is an ever evolving model similar to science while it also gives life meaning, some parts of vintologi is scientific while other parts are more spiritual or based on common personal preferences.

In general christianity is very anti-nature and also suicidal. In christianity your life on earth is discarded as just a test that will determine if you will end up in fictional heaven or hell (i would prefer hell).

Christianity is just boring in general, i want to live a good life, have awesome sex, have many beautiful children, etc. It’s fine if you end up as a female sex-slave in a future life.

If you want to think you will end up in another place after death your best bet is on another planet, this is fully possible that belief is likely to become a lot more common in the future.

You are a retard if you
-discard evolution as a “jewish lie”.
-believe bible stories to be true [123](#) [124](#)
-do not have sex without being married.

Improving your mental abilities

You improve your mental abilities by doing things that are truly challenging and fun, this can be a hard videogame or a task that required sustained attention and planning for a very long time.

Often there are ways to make videogames more challenging such as playing old videogames in at twice the framerate (resulting in twice the speed) or beating the game in a way that wasn’t intended.

Logical puzzles such rubik’s cube, slither link and kakuro can also be challenging at first but generally these tasks will be easy once you have figured out the method.

It’s more fun and interesting when your performance has significant real world consequences. Poker or trading using real money are examples of this, this is somewhat dangerous since you may overestimate your abilities in these areas, in addition you also need to deal with a lot of variance.

Generally the more fun something is the more challenging it is for your brain, when you get bored due to doing something it’s a sign that you are understimulated and your brain needs something better.

About self confidence

Overestimating your mental abilities can have very bad consequences, often leading to financial ruin or even worse. If you are a bad poker player deluding yourself into thinking you are a winning player you will lose a lot of money.

Underestimating your mental abilities can also result in you missing out due to selling yourself short.

If you think you will be able to revolutionize physics when you lack the required mental abilities you might end up wasting your time on stuff that will not lead anywhere, there is no shortage of totally useful crackpot theories people think are true because they wasted years working on that nonsense. You can gain a lot from dropping your useless pride and instead focus on stuff you are actually somewhat likely to achieve, that will result in real world well-being and you will feel good about actually being successful, if something is too hard for you just ask someone else to do it for you, you might have to pay for something.

Automation

It's a good thing since it eliminates repetitive work and allows for more fun types of professions to be created. So far automation has made work better and better, it used to be repetitive, dangerous and low paid but now it's challenging, well paid and often fun.

Low IQ people becoming unable to make a living is a good thing, they are not supposed to reproduce anyway.

Sex work

Why work a boring job when you can get paid to be sexually humiliated in many ways?

The advantage with the clear honest exchange is that it gives the male far more power over her via his money, she will have to participate in his sick perversions or she will not get any money, the more money he offers the harder it will be for her to resist. While it is humiliating to participate in porn or prostitution other forms of work are more disgusting and pay far less.

Long term relationships are often just glorified prostitution where the female get resources in exchange for sex, sex that she may still enjoy. Generally prostitution goes against social norms which is why the nature of the exchange is hidden behind marriage sermons and romance bullshit.

The issue with relying on men for resources is that this may incentivize you from taking action that will allow you to make money in other ways that doesn't depend on your fading attractiveness, this is similar to the government welfare trap where you used to getting easy money and thus don't take steps to improve your life long term.

If you have real potential you may benefit from being irrationally hostile to selling your body.

Some people need a male to take care of them

When you are too mentally ill or stupid to take care of yourself you need to rely on other people for that, if you are female you can probably find a male that will take care of you and of course also fuck you. You will be spanked when you have been a bad girl and rewarded when you have been a good girl.

This will be the end station for some individuals that transitioned from male to female, better than homelessness I suppose.

Not everyone can be successful and independent, some individuals need to just be subservient to a master, they exist to be used and give pleasure to other people.

Some people just need to give up their useless pride, the thing with self respect and making your own money didn't work out, the only thing you have left now is your body that will be used by someone else, you better get used to having sex with a male because this is all you will get now.

Ignorance is a bliss

The truth can be brutal and unpleasant, most humans need to shield themselves from reality to stay mentally healthy. The truth can be very painful.

Religious people are happier and have more reproductive success.

If your wife cuckolded you and had children with someone else you are happier believing it's your children over knowing you will die as a childless ultimate failure and that you invested all that time and money into children that wasn't yours.

About Nihilism

There are several forms of nihilism [125](#) but the concept in general isn't compatible with vintologi. Moral nihilism, also known as ethical nihilism, is the meta-ethical view that morality does not exist as something inherent to objective reality; therefore no action is necessarily preferable to any other. A moral nihilist would say that killing someone, for whatever reason, is not inherently right or wrong.

Let's say you have a gun and are able to kill without getting any punishment, you may decide to kill a few ugly people in order to increase the chance of being beautiful in the next life but maybe the people you killed were important in other regards and thus the result may be the opposite. Your actions do have consequences and these consequences will build up over time due to the butterfly effect, you might be changing the world to the better now without realizing it.

The morality in vintologi is probabilistic, if you like your genes having a lot of children will improve the probability of having genes you like in future lives. In vintologi your ability to control what happens in future lives is almost zero and it is also very difficult to know how your action in the current life affects future lives, this is similar to trading stocks where it can be very difficult to predict the results of your actions.

Nihilism is simply the logical conclusion from the premise that your consciousness ends when you die.

Vintologi may seem to be nihilistic and the similarities are there, it does invalidate the moral concerns humans typically have.

There is no absolute morality

Humans in general have a need to be told what to do, instead of following their heart they base their decisions based on bibles, constitutions, laws and other artificial constructs. Some libertarians believe in the gay "non-aggression principle".

Christians have their stupid 10 commandments. In Cosmotheism good and bad is based on how it affects the genetic quality of the humans.

In Christianity people breaking the rules are supposed to go to hell and burn for all eternity, well that

seems better than boring heaven. The belief in karma is common where sims are supposed to archive good karma but that is of course nonsense, genetic distance and possibly your own free will is what actually determined reincarnation and your ability to control your future lives is very limited, it does not really matter what you do.

Our society has many norms and moral dogmas, many of these has been challenged already and there is more to come, there is no case where something is absolutely bad or absolutely good, you may try to come up with an example such as rape or torture but if you use your own brain you are likely to arrive at the opposite conclusion

- torture can be fun for the individual doing the torture.

- a lot of people have rape fantasies and want's to experience it.

- there is a lot of teenager that want's to have sex with older humans but fucking a 14-year old is illegal in most countries.

Instead of worrying about whether or not your actions are moral you should focus on living a good life and also good future lives, fuck females, win money playing poker against losers, write a novel, start a family, etc.

the origin of morality

Animals that act in a way not ideal for survival and reproduction may end up being replaced by animals that make better decisions in terms of survival and reproduction.

Thus over time evolution enforces a darwinian morality meaning people are forced to adapt to the environment. People that break laws may end up jailed or even killed and this will create evolutionary preasure not to break these laws.

Humans are currently in control over the environment and thus the morality will to a very large extent come down to decisions made by humans, by changing the environment we can change the direction of human evolution.

Societal survival of the fittest

Weak societies will fall and be taken over by stronger societies.

Democratic societies tend to become weak and this cannot last forever, you can utilize weaknesses in democratic systems to slowly grab power, after that there will not be any more real democracy.

One way to measure how successful a society is to look at survival and reproduction (birthrate). Societies and cultures that go very far when it comes to increasing the birthrate will end up growing in numbers and this will also impact other important factors such as military strenght.

There are several brutal methods (baby quotas, females as property) to maximize the fertility rate among desired females. We also need to have a strong economy so we can support all our children we forced females to have, 13 is a good age for a female to start breeding.

The by far biggest factor limiting the birthrate is females unwilling to have even close to the max number of children.

Unless artificial wombs are build the only effective way to raise the fertility rate is coercion meaning forcing females to become pregnant (rape or baby quotas) there is no nice way thats effective.

About animal rights

Societies that care about animals will end up doing worse since it will become more expensive to produce high quality food, animal testing will be more difficult or even totally banned.

Rights to animals follow naturally from universal human rights, once you have accepted the premise that you are morally obligated to prevent other beings from suffering there are no end to your moral obligations.

Since you may end up reincarnated as a non-human mammal you may want to secure some rights for animals in the future, this however comes at a significant cost

- if you do not kill an alien the alien may reproduce fast and eventually outnumber and displace humans.
- raising farm-animals in good condition costs more money and not everyone will be able to afford that.
- people miss out on fun they have brutally dominating animals.

Humans can currently afford to be nice but eventually that will no longer be a viable option, there is biological difference between humans and genetically engineered humans have already been created.

Current humans are likely to be replaced by more aggressive beings (Such as genetically engineered humans) due to being too nice.

We cannot just assume that reincarnated as an animals that are brutally dominating by humans would be a bad thing, different animals have different brains and thus we cannot project our human psychological needs (which we have a poor understanding of) upon completely different animals.

About empathy and compassion

We spent most of our evolutionary history in small tribes and in that environment unselfish behaviour made a lot of evolutionary sense. Even in cases where we met strangers we still benefitted from compassion since it allowed for peaceful interactions.

Our modern society is different, capitalism works fine even when people act selfish and being to compassionate often end up harming yourself or other people important to you.

You will come into contact with people willing to use your empathy and kindness against you, if you don't keep your empathy in check you will end up being exploited by psychopaths.

Empathy may not even be that great for society as a whole, especially not when it isn't combined with rational thinking. You might just end up making things worse by trying to help people, in addition a lot of people get upset by people trying to 'help' them when they haven't asked for it.

When people are too compassionate future generations may be ruined due to people with bad genetics reproducing, by implementing brutal policies (such as accelerating hypergamy) future generations are improved and everyone is better off long term.

Even love for your own children can sometimes be problematic, there are situations where you benefit from abandoning your children to start a new family or where empathy towards them is counterproductive in terms of their wellbeing.

You might have more reproductive success if you are willing to just abandon all your children and letting their mothers and the state raise them instead.

Even environmental issues can be solved even when people are fully selfish, it's in all of our interest to implement policies politically that protects our environment, when such policies are in place (such as it being expensive to emit CO2) people acting unselfish for the sake of our planet isn't required.

About degeneracy

Degeneracy is behaviour that is detrimental for the survival & reproduction of your kind, this can be genetic or due to you being conditioned by society to follow a non-darwinian morality.

Examples of degenerate behavior is

- gambling money with negative expected value.
- sterilizing yourself.
- not fucking a female in the pussy given the opportunity.
- donating money (Very few exceptions).
- becoming fully monogamous with someone you cannot have children with.
- committing to a loser when you are female.

Engaging in a threesome is obviously not a degenerate behaviour since you might be able to make several females pregnant the same day that way.

Due to evolution the ones engaging in degenerate behaviour (such as being voluntary celibate) will be weeded out with time.

About suicide

By killing yourself you will be able to escape personal problems specific to your current life but you may not be able to escape systemic problems that face almost everyone.

The probability of you being able to escape a problem will depend on how big part of the upcoming humans that end up facing said problem but even if you are lucky enough to escape it one life you may not have the same luck next life.

Sometimes you need to take simply permanently solve an issue in order to secure good upcoming life, just trying to escape systemic issues is not a valid long term solution.

About the left

Leftism is about taking care of everyone including ones that do not want to be 'helped' in the first place

- locking up teens against their will "for their own good" even though they didn't even do anything illegal.
- involuntary psychiatric treatments "they are mentally ill so they do not know what's best for them".
- compulsory schooling.
- preventing people from killing themselves.
- not allowing people to just buy any drug they want.
- banning medical quackery.

If we let people be free they will do stupid decisions and thus the left prefer the government controlling most aspects of our life "for our own good" instead of allowing natural selection. Some people might actually do better decisions than the government would make for them but that will not be tolerated in a lefty society, everyone needs to be kept down to the same level.

Enforcing high taxes will require totalitarian control over the population, the taxes are needed to pay for the giant ineffective welfare state.

The left may sometimes promote liberal values to get elected but it's just about getting votes, they do not actually care about LGBT people or sexual freedom, instead when they are in power they add totalitarian control over human sexuality. If a teen has sex with a 25 year old male the left will view it as awful exploitation that needs to be stopped.

Why age of consent above 13 is dysgenic

A homo sapiens superior (or more desirable) female shall have sex early, it will allow her to pair bond and have sex early.

Finding a suitable mate and establishing a suitable relationship with that particular male will take time and it will involve sex, thus a homo sapiens superior female shall have sex before she is able to get pregnant. Females can typically get pregnant about a year after menarche and thus the age of consent shall not be higher than 13.

AoC laws make it harder for females to have early sex and marriage with desirable males since they tend to choose females they can fuck more safely (especially when it comes to children), this is especially harmful when AoC is above 13 & the punishment for "statutory" rape is harsh.

A high AoC simply pushes horny teens in the hands of incels and actual pedophiles.

The age of consent should be 13 or lower for sex, if she is below the age of consent you first need to marry her. She also has to be physically ready for it.

Marriage/adoption should be allowed by the approval of 2 of the following 3 parties

0. the child (the child's government appointed guardian if the child is below 9).

1. the father.

2. the mother.

Any of these parties can decide to take it to court if in the minority and the court will be able to overrule the decision within 30 days.

The issue of knowing whether or not she actually wanted the sex can easily be resolved by allowing her to give digital approval, the digital approval cannot be revoked during the sexual activity so she will be in for a ride. This has the additional benefit of allowing us to easily track STD:s and eliminate them.

Mental abilities and sexual consent

Lie: Teenage girls do not have enough mental maturity to consent to a grown male.

This statement is assuming 3 things:

1. Maximum mental maturity is required to give simple consent to sex.

2. A difference in mental maturity between the man and the woman will almost always lead in the man emotionally manipulating her.

3. Adult women are somehow more mentally mature (in their sexual choices) than teen girls.

Let me first debunk the main argument. The psychologists who have this opinion are just basing it off pure knowledge (Fed to them), instead of actual studies.

In his book, Teen 2.0: Saving Our Children and Families from the Torment of Adolescence (148-147), Robert Epstein writes:

“After reviewing the relevant scientific literature, interviewing many adults, and consulting with three other psychologists and two psychiatrists with expertise in adult development issues, we concluded that there are fourteen different skill-sets or "competencies" [love, sex, leadership, problem solving, physical abilities, verbal and math, interpersonal skills, responsibility, managing high-risk behaviors, work, education, personal care, self-management, and citizenship] that distinguish adults from non-adults... For three of the competencies--love, leadership and problem solving--we did find statistically significant differences between the mean scores of teens and adults, with adults outscoring the teens. But the absolute differences were small... On two other scales--work and self-management--the differences between the adult scores and teen scores were marginally significant (at the .05 level), again in the adults' favor, but the absolute differences were less than 4 percent. On the other nine scales, we found no significant differences at all between the adult and teen scores... fifty five of the adults in our sample were college graduates--more than double the rate of college graduates in the United States."

In an article published by Scientific American, he states:

“And long-standing studies of intelligence, perceptual abilities and memory function show that teens are in many instances far superior to adults. Visual acuity, for example, peaks around the time of puberty. “Incidental memory”—the kind of memory that occurs automatically, without any mnemonic effort, peaks at about age 12 and declines through life... In the 1940s pioneering intelligence researchers J. C. Raven and David Wechsler, relying on radically different kinds of intelligence tests, each showed that raw scores on intelligence tests peak between ages 13 and 15 and decline after that throughout life... And whereas brain size is not necessarily a good indication of processing ability, it is notable that recent scanning data collected by Eric Courchesne and his colleagues at the University of California, San Diego, show that brain volume peaks at about age 14."

<https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/the-myth-of-the-teen-brain-2007-06/>

I'm going to include a long article that literally debunks the common, pseudoscientific talking points of these feminists.

“Northwestern University psychiatrist Daniel Offer, the nation's leading researcher on adolescents, studied 30,000 teenagers and adults from the 1960s to the 1990s. He and his colleagues found 85% to 90% of teens held attitudes and risk perceptions similar to that of their parents, were not alienated, did think about the future, were coping well with their lives, and did not display psychological disturbances. “Decision making for adults is no different than decision making among teenagers,” Offer reported in 1987 in the Journal of the American Medical Association."

<http://home.earthlink.net/~mmales/ch2-psyc.htm>

The first two assumptions I listed at the beginning of this section are basically interconnected. The feminists are assuming that, despite all this evidence against their logic, teen girls who seek grown Chads actually are not acting according to their real desires (due to supposed mental immaturity when compared to adult women). There's always a form of “manipulation” apparently taking place. Now we all know that modern teen girls are usually near post pubescent or post pubescent. In the blackpill community, a girl at that stage will always seek out Chad, if the society she lives in is sexually free, regardless of her mental maturity condition. Sexual maturity is always a bigger and more accurate indication.

Let me give you a report made by the police for example, regarding grown men who had sex with

(mostly) 13-15 year old women they met online.

“Only 5% of offenders tried to deceive victims about being older adults. Only 21% lied about their sexual motives, and most of these deceptions involved insincere promises of love and romance. Few offenders used force (5%) or coercion (16%) or abduction (3%) to sexually abuse their victims. Only 5% of offenders tried to deceive victims about being older adults. Only 21% lied about their sexual motives, and most of these deceptions involved insincere promises of love and romance. Few offenders used force (5%) or coercion (16%) or abduction (3%) to sexually abuse their victims. The research also suggests that it may be misleading to categorize offenders in such cases as strangers, because victims and offenders had typically communicated, both online and by telephone, for more than one month prior to meeting in person. The authors also recommend training for law enforcement since some of the targeted youth may not initially see themselves as victims and may require sensitive interviewing in order to cooperate with investigators.”

<https://www.apa.org/news/press/releases/2004/08/online-sex-abuse.aspx>

Lmao. So they're basically trying to brainwash the JBs into thinking that they were somehow taken advantage of.

A feminist, old hag “victimologist” (Rosalind Prober) once said,

“Young people often argue with you that what they're doing is what they want to do and the person on the Internet is really their boyfriend, they weren't sexually exploited and they wanted to raise their shirts and show their breasts over the Internet,” Prober said. “It takes a lot of debriefing and deprogramming to get those children to view themselves as victims, which they truly are, a compliant victim.”

These feminists are basically COPING. They know that if sex with JBs were to be legalized, they would have to compete very hard with them. This is why they create these pseudoscientific arguments.

Some people may say that JBs might have a bit lower standards than older women (i.e. while they seek Chad, they'll easily go for even sub6 males).

This is a fairly debatable topic. I believe it has to do with the fact that they haven't been completely exposed to Chad and don't know of their high sexual market value completely. This is why if we were to reduce age of consent laws, we must establish a system that stops sexual freedom, because you'll never know what really happens. They might go for the 20 year old Chads in numbers.

Regarding the third assumption...

If adult women were truly more mentally mature (when it comes to their sexual decisions) in comparison to teen girls, then why on earth do they seem “easily manipulated” in these abusive relationships (with Chads)? Why are there so many false rape allegations/sex regrets, mainly after the woman displays foolish behavior and gets drunk? The behavior of adult women does not seem to indicate that they are way above teen girls. It seems that the mental maturity of both are relatively equal.

Another argument the feminists use has to do with “power disparity.”

The presence of a natural power gap (by age alone) does not necessarily mean that it will be utilized or abused. The feminists are basically making yet another misandrist assumption, based on the “evilness” and “predatory instincts” of adult male sexuality.

These people are all for parents using methods to assert a disparity in power on their children by the way. But God forbid this takes place during a sexual relationship. God forbid a teen girl learns life experiences (and discipline) from a grown male through this age gap.

Another argument has to do with the fact that teen girls aren’t ready to be mothers.

This only has to do with the social situation we are in. Teen girls aren’t getting prepared to be young mothers. They’re being sent to school to learn trigonometry instead. The ridiculous behavior of modern dads is absolutely staggering. Instead of sending their daughters off to marriage with a grown (and stable) male, they instead encourage them to ride the cock carousel with other teen men (promiscuity). This is your current feminist culture, gentlemen.

Furthermore, it has been found that women actually mentally mature way earlier than men [126](#)

This might explain why adult femoids seem to have a relatively similar mental maturity state to teen femoids. Most of the “maturity” has probably been already done when they’re children.

Should the age of consent depend on the sexual activity?

Different sexual activities have different consequences. Being fucked in your pussy or anus is very different from just sucking a dick or touching a dick/breast with your hands.

It is especially important to protect the reproductive organs of girls and thus we may want to completely ban vaginal sex before puberty.

If you have married a child you will be obligated to take care of her or him and this includes not having sex with her if she isn't physically ready for that type of sex. Thus you may be limited to just sexual touching if she is really young.

The consequences will depend on the societal attitudes towards the activity in question, we do let children shower with other children of the same sex currently and this is viewed as normal.

Dealing with bad parents

Most people have parents that are bad in many ways and there are different strategies to deal with that, first of all you need to free yourself of the control they have over your mind, once you have begun to properly think for yourself you will be able to free yourself from them in other ways.

You might have to play dirty, if they want you to go to collage or focus on school you can simply state that you are willing to do that if they give you some basic things

1. you alone decide if/when you are going to see a psychiatrist.
2. if you are already diagnosed with adhd or any other psychiatric disorder you alone should decide about the medication.
3. you should be allowed to be sexually active.
4. you should be allowed to have children at early age and be supported.
5. they cannot be violent towards you or emotionally abusive.

Too which degree should parents have power over their children?

Parents often think they should have full power over their children including when it comes to selection sexual partner. Allowing parents to abuse their children may be eugenic to some degree by bad parental decision reducing the probability of their children survival and reproducing.

But 2 parents with bad DNA can have a children with very good DNA due to luck and in these cases nothing is gain from allowing defective parents to fail their child. For this reason it's important that the government look after children and allow them to escape from their parents control when needed.

Parents should not be allowed to deprive their children of sex once they are teens, that would be child-abuse and we shouldn't allow that, parents sometimes need to know their place.

About psychiatry

Most people suffer from mental issues, how severe it is varies between humans. Psychiatry pretends to help people suffering from mental illness but it's all a fraud.

1. they cannot accurately diagnose people [127](#)
2. they medication they offer is addictive or will create dependence.
3. they may start treating you against your will "for your own good" [128](#)

None of the treatments they offer have good long term outcomes [129](#) [130](#) [131](#) [132](#) [133](#)

There is no denying that the drugs they offer can feel good and a lot of people want to get their hands on the addictive drugs they are offering, people on drug will often think they are being helped by the drug when in reality they are just spiraling into an addiction [134](#) [135](#)

Many people have a religious faith in psychiatrists and therapists and expect them to magically solve their problem, in reality these people are just humans and you might get more out of chatting with people online about your specific issue. In the case of gender dysphoria they just gave up and decided just to offer hormones and surgery since they are clueless about how to actually cure the disorder.

It's natural to be depressed when your life is bad and the solution is to take action to improve your life, psychiatry will instead give people drugs and [electroshocks](#) to achieve short term improvement at any cost, as if grand mal seizures would be good for you. They simply turn what could have been a temporary issue (psychosis, depression, etc) into a chronic problem via extended usage of psychiatric drugs and other brain-damaging treatments.

Mental illness can be due to biological factors such as diet [136](#) psychiatry will not fix that, nor will they be able to fix any issues with your genetics.

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0137864>

It's unclear if there are any situations where you benefit from drugging yourself unless you await a certain death that will come to you soon, in that case the long term consequences don't matter and you may as well numb yourself so you will feel less bad about your horrible situation assuming there is nothing to be done about it.

Psychiatry is very useful if you want to control a population, label people opposing the system as mentally ill, when they are diagnosed as mentally ill they can be locked up at institutions "for their own good" and they might never get out.

Since psychiatrists are in a position of power over their patients (victims) people with antisocial personality traits including outright sadist will be very motivated to pursue these positions getting a sick pleasure out of seriously harming people. The more they manage to harm your brain and give you psychological trauma the more likely you are to end up in their hands again.

Criteria for diagnosing Oppositional Defiant Disorder according to *DSM-5* include:

- A pattern of angry or irritable mood, argumentative or defiant behavior, or vindictiveness over a period of at least 6 months, expressed through interacting with an individual who is not a sibling.
- Behavior causes significant disruption to social, educational, occupational, or home functioning.
- Behavior is not caused by a different mental health problem, such as attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.

Due to the risk of being treated by these quacks against your will you should not talk about mental health issues such as suicide thoughts or hallucinations with anyone who can find out your real identity, do not even talk to a psychiatrist and by avoiding them you will be mostly safe. If you need to go about something use Tor or Proton to hide your IP address and you will be more free to talk about hearing voices or whatever mental health issue you struggle from.

If you end up in a psychiatric ward it's recommended that you pretend to take the medications they prescribe [137](#) make them think the pill got you better, only take it to court as a last resort since there isn't any actual due process anyway (expect to lose).

About paranoia

Being paranoid is a natural and healthy reaction to the fact that there are plenty of people that will destroy your life given the opportunity. A lot of people think they know better than you and want to force you to do what they want "for your own good" other people are outright sadistic and only want to torture you to get a sick sense of pleasure. A lot of people simply want to get ahead in their life at your expense and they might not even dislike you as a person.

Most people are too naive and are thus likely to be seriously harmed by other people, a lot of people have to learn this the hard way and when they finally get it it might already be too late.

Most people being physically and chemically tortured inside psychiatric wards are there because they were too trusting and too open with other people, maybe they were suicidal and sought 'help' as they were told.

You being uncomfortable talking too openly with other people is a healthy defense mechanism to keep you safe, you need to take this uneasiness seriously.

People will go after you even more if you are politically active and a solid intellectual, people get very upset if someone explains to them how they are wrong and they may even want to torture you to death simply due to the fact that their ego couldn't handle it.

Irrational paranoia can sometimes harm you but generally it's far better to stay safe and take unnecessary steps to protect yourself from people out to harm you than once being careless and thus being seriously harmed as a result.

About paternalism

Trying to help people when they don't even want 'help' has a very bad track record

1. the people with power typically don't have the best interest in mind for the ones they have power over
2. people with power are typically limited in terms of intelligence (mental abilities).
3. people in power have limited knowledge.

Lets say someone is diagnosed with schizophrenia, does this justify depriving that individual of his/her freedom and forcing him/her to take drugs that have very bad side effects? The only viable justification for this is eugenics [138](#) [139](#) [140](#) [141](#) unfortunately government eugenics attempts tend to be disastrous.

It can be difficult to know if someone is actually having hallucinations or are just lying/joking with you. Having hallucinations does not mean you will act crazy, plenty of people cope with that fine without taking any drugs.

being delusional is normal, it's just a matter of degree. It just comes down to whether or not the insanity is accepted by the society you live in.

People with eating disorders can currently be force-fed, you are not allowed to take drugs, you cannot legally consent to sex until you are 16 (united states). This often result in damages "overfeeding syndrome" and it is also likely to cause emotional trauma.

It's very common that totalitarianism is justified with "it's for their own good" when in reality the ones harming other people with their authority don't even themselves believe they are helping people. When someone is being controlled that individual may eventually tell himself or herself that it is indeed a good thing due to crushed self confidence and the individuals would not have learned how to properly take care of themselves.

Parental rescue fantasy

If your parent are or were unable to meet your needs you may end up asking other parental figures to meet these needs, this is likely to result in you being exploited. Other people cannot replace your parents, since you are not related to them in the same way they will be less likely to actually properly take care of you. If you are male you really need to grow up and start to take care of yourself.

Other people can still help you but you need to take care of yourself, especially if you are male. Your parents may have done a good job at raising you in the past but eventually you will find yourself in situations where they cannot help you anymore, they might die, they might lack the skills required to help you, you might be in a situations where you yourself needs to deal with a problem.

You need to be willing to break with your parents if they do you more harm than good, you don't have any obligations towards them, it's only them that are biologically obligated to take care of you. The fact that they are your parents does not mean they are good for you, a lot of parents are outright terrible.

A lot of mental issues are caused by environment

We are forced to live in ways that are very unnatural and in addition to that we will also face hardships and these can be really bad.

So it's not surprising people turn to drugs such as alcohol even though it's clearly harmful, by disabling the brain you will feel like your life situation is better than it is but this is not really a valid way to actually improve your life.

Stimulant drugs allows you to become more robotic which required in some situations, it's not surprising more and more people turn to these drugs even though they are not even diagnosed with ADHD [142](#)

People destroying their bodies and especially their brains with mind altering substances will often try to push other people to join their insanity, if you dont drink alcohol other people around you may try to pressure you into taking it and in that cause i recommend that you firmly demonstrate that this isn't acceptable behavior.

People will try to push you into joining the psychiatry cult and having them pump you full of drugs that will numb you even more than alcohol and thus you will feel like you are doing better in life even though you are actually doing far worse.

People badly addicted will be reassured by authority (quack psychiatrist) that they do indeed **need** these drugs and they will think they are getting helped, most people will not look up actual studies about the long term impact (it's always bad without exception).

People view dysfunctional governments are the norm

A consequence of democracy is that we have normalized a condition where we elect politicians to make very bad decisions and their fialure to actually advance humanity and solve global environmental issues such as anthropocentric global warming.


People were hoping Donald Trump would resolve things but as expected he turned out to be a rather weak and incompetent leader mostly focused on getting re-elected, he is too unwilling to go nuclear.

Imagine if we actually had a competent government

1. having a functional educational system
2. being able to fuck 13 year old girls breaking the law.
3. expanding our borders
4. colonizing other planets
5. high quality media funded by the government.
6. being able to talk openly about mental problems without risking torture by psychiatry.
7. having sex without having to worry about STD:s
8. getting proper help when you have mental issues instead of quack psychiatrists.
9. high quality free open source software funded by the government.
10. taxes would be far lower (maybe 25% in total).
11. having a functional judicial system where you dont need to wait years for the final verdict.
12. birthrate above the replacement level.
13. genitital mutilation of infants would no longer be tolerated.
14. children would be able to escape abusive parents and live on their own from age 15.
15. having a good healthcare system paid for by tax-money.

Embracing vintologi

Initially you will face some mental pain due to having to get rid of your old delusions about reality but you will notice improvementw in your life as you get used to the new way of thinking and stop with your old suicidal behaviour.



You will now feel good about acting in your own self interest and feel bad if you foolishly give help to people not deserving it at your own expense.

You will be more willing to take risks in life and you are likely to eventually become very successful in life.

Refuting Arguments for AoC > 13

Here I've put together a list of all the pro age of consent arguments that puritanical feminists bandy around. I've also gone through each one and demonstrated why it is false. Over time I'd like to improve the strength of the refutations in this list as well as add any pro age of consent arguments that I've missed. So, for now, consider this a first draft – if you have anything to add please do add a comment under the article.

Imbalance Of Power Argument

Claim: *An adult is older, stronger, more mature and 'knows better' whereas a child is weak, immature, and doesn't know as much. Thus there is an 'imbalance of power' and so any relationship with an imbalance of power must be criminalised and punished severely.*

Refutation: There is an imbalance of power in any meaningful relationship. A poor person who marries a rich person is in an 'unbalanced' relationship. A person who was educated at Oxbridge and marries someone who never went to university is in an 'unbalanced' relationship. A person who is big and marries someone who is small is in an 'unbalanced' relationship. The fact is we can go on and on and show that all relationships are in fact an imbalance of power and thus 'abusive'. If there is an 'imbalance of power' then that does not mean the 'power' is being abused. People should only be jailed for what they have done; not what they might do. It is ridiculous to assume otherwise and completely contrary to the rule of law.

Physical Harm Argument

This argument actually comes in two distinct parts which I'll address separately:

– Underage intercourse is painful/causes damage argument

Several points we can make here:

- 1) Feminist age of consent laws cover ANY sexual activity not just intercourse. It is blatantly ridiculous to claim that groping causes physical damage. So at best this argument suggests there should be an age of consent for intercourse, however, it does not suggest there should be an age of consent for all sexual activity.
- 2) For older 'children' (a definition which is starting to creep up towards 21 in some jurisdictions) it seems highly unlikely that intercourse could be any worse than for an adult as they are already more or less their full adult size.
- 3) For younger 'children' it is improbable that they would 'accidentally' cause themselves horrific injuries. If they were finding an act to be painful then they would not allow it, in which case a partner continuing would be criminal. Thus, it seems inappropriate to create an age of consent for intercourse when standard laws covering 'real' rape, assault and actual/grievous bodily harm are more than sufficient.

– Teenage pregnancies are harmful argument

Claim: Teenage pregnancies have high mortality rates and should never be allowed.

Refutation: This is untrue. Several points to make here:

1) One study has found that mortality rates for teenagers are lower than that of over 30s. Thus this claim is only valid if you are also willing to advocate for an upper bound age of consent of 30 such that having sex with a woman over 30 will result in decades behind bars, sex offender registration and the ever lingering possibility of 21st Century gas chambers being constructed for ‘subhuman’ sex offenders.

2) The reason why female animals (including humans) go through menstruation only once they have reached a certain age would seem to be because that protects them from excessively early pregnancies. Thus, it is rare for an individual to get pregnant ‘too young’ as nature prevents this. This makes perfect sense as animals do not have a concept of an ‘age of consent’ – they just fuck when they like. This principle has also applied to humans in more liberal periods – ever heard the expression ‘old enough to bleed, old enough to breed’?

Psychological Harm Argument

Claim: Any sexual activity with anyone under the arbitrary age of consent (which may vary by jurisdiction) causes intense and pervasive harm that lasts until the end of that person’s life.

Refutation: There are several points to make here:

1) Academic studies done show this assertion to be false. In particular a highly notable meta-study is the Rind Study ‘A Meta-Analytic Examination of Assumed Properties of Child Sexual Abuse Using College Samples’ which found that intense & pervasive harm is rare. It even found in many cases ‘children’ felt positively about their ‘sexual abuse’ experiences (with ‘sexual abuse’ being defined using the dogmatic contemporary legal definition where consent is irrelevant).

2) There exists absolutely no scientific research as to what this magical ‘age of consent’ – below which intense and pervasive psychological harm ensues – is or should be. Indeed it seems that a German 14 year old would NOT suffer ‘horrific psychological harm’ as they are over the age of consent in Germany. Yet a 17 year old in many American states WOULD suffer ‘horrific psychological harm’ as they are under the age of consent in America. There is absolutely no scientific reason for there to be a specific cutoff point for sexual activity nor is there any reason why a German should be more resilient to early sexual activity than an American. Thus this dogmatic black and white age-of-consent attitude is unhelpful and wrong. Psychological harm cannot be directly to do with whether age of consent laws are obeyed or not.

Inability to Consent Argument

Claim: Children lack the intelligence, maturity, knowledge and wisdom to consent to sex. Thus, all sex is rape.

Refutation: There are several counter arguments to make here:

1) If children are assumed to lack the 'knowledge' to consent to sex then they cannot learn about it in order to acquire that knowledge. What this means is that they will be far more ignorant about sexuality than yesterday's children who engaged in it at earlier ages. What is the end result of this ideology? Ever rising ages of consent; which is exactly what we see today. We also see children becoming fatter, less confident and more insulated as a consequence of the belief that children are not 'mature' enough to engage in an activity. Today this even includes non-sexual things like playing at the park unsupervised which 68% of Americans think should be a crime.

2) The notion that 'children' lack intelligence is blatantly false. See for example research on 'The Myth Of The Teen Brain'. It suggests that infant intelligence may peak between 13 to 15 and that the modern concept of the 'troubled teen' is in fact a consequence of puritanical western indoctrination.

3) Feminists will not like to hear this (do they like to hear anything I have to say?), but: Consent is not necessary. As pointed out by other MRAs like Eivind Berge – historically rape laws in some jurisdictions were much narrower and required force or serious threats for an act to constitute rape. Thus failing to obtain consent was not considered rape. Fundamentally the problem with the notion of 'consent' is that it is a dubiously vague concept that if applied to everything & everyone then it would have us all in prison. After all, does a child 'consent' to go to school? If a parent drags a child to school then why are they not committing a horrific crime by dragging their child to school without consent? Indeed, if children are unable to consent to anything then it follows that even a parent taking a child consensually to school is committing a heinous crime as a child cannot consent to anything. Consent-based ideology is a dangerous dogma. There is an argument for people to be able to settle grievances if someone did something to them that they did not consent to. However, it must be acknowledged that this is a grey area, some leeway must be given for the accused and the punishment must fit the magnitude of the crime – no one should go to prison for life for causing 15 seconds of discomfort via a bum-touching offence. Worse still, the way that the 'justice system' can class someone as a victim when they don't even think of themselves as a victim and then jail a man who supposedly offended 'against' them is especially Orwellian and shocking. This has happened in many cases such as the Jeremy Forrest case.

Adult is Selfish Argument

claim: **An adult's sexual interest in a 'child' is fundamentally selfish. The adult does not care about the child only their own sexual gratification.**

This argument really has two underlying beliefs behind it. To dispel the argument both must be refuted.

Belief #1 If something is selfish then it is immoral.

Refutation: All human behaviour is fundamentally guided by selfishness. Contrary to the apparent Darwinian 'survival of the fittest' slogan that has Christians up in arms with its lack of an altruistic 'moral compass' there is, in fact, much to be selfishly gained from altruistic behaviour. Thus, it is entirely possible that something which is selfish can also be altruistic and therefore highly moral and beneficial to others.

Belief #2 Sexual activity is only in the adult's interest, never in the child's interest.

Refutation: See the "Young people can never be attracted to old people argument" below. This is essentially exactly the same argument.

Young people can never be attracted to old people argument

Refutation: There is evidence to suggest otherwise. Of course, to refute this, all we need to show is that there are cases of a young person attracted to a much older person. One particularly high profile case would be that of Jeremy Forrest where his 'victim' tried to defend him during his trial and still defends him now. Here's an example of another case very similar to Jeremy Forrest's. Or indeed a case of an even younger 11 year old girl in love with a 60 year old man. And these are just the ones who got caught!

A more comprehensive examination of young people in love with older people was done in the book "Positive Memories" by T.Rivas – it is available to read online here. It documents (with sources) some 118 cases of adult-child relationships remembered positively by the younger party.

Children Are Innocent Argument

Claim: Children are innocent thus they should not be subjected to sexual activity.

Refutation: There are two underlying problems with this argument:

1) What exactly is 'innocence'? What does it mean and why should anyone care? This vague and unclear concept called 'childhood innocence' seems to have begun emerging around the 18th century. This wikipedia article on the history of childhood gives more insight. Fundamentally though there appears to be nothing particularly scientific about the concept of 'childhood innocence' – it is largely based on somewhat arbitrary ideological & philosophical underpinnings which can be changed. Indeed, given that this dogmatic ideology now sees millions of men incarcerated for sexual offences across the world it makes perfect sense to think about throwing it away in favour of something more sensible.

2) The underlying idea of a child being 'innocent' sexually seems to be based around a puritan notion that sex is 'sinful' and thus as children are innocent they should not engage in it. For any open-minded person this is of course nonsense – if we assume sex is indeed sinful then all adults should be roasted in hell not just the ones convicted of 'paedophilia'.

'Paedophilia' is universally reviled in all cultures and throughout history argument

Refutation: This is false. What is today called 'paedophilia' was historically accepted. The age of consent used to be far lower in every country in the world. In Britain the age of consent was 12 until the feminists raised it in 1861. In Spain the age of consent was 12 until as late as 1999. In the USA – now the most paedohysterical country in the world – the American ages of consent were vastly lower with one state, Delaware, having an age of consent of 7 possibly up to as late as the 1960s. Right across Europe child porn was legally sold in porn shops in the 1970s, for example, the Danish company Color Climax made child porn – not just of adolescents but even preteens, see the wikipedia article about them here.

Paedophilia is abnormal so there must be something wrong with it argument


Refutation: This is simply untrue. Studies show that 1 in 5 men are in fact strongly attracted to children 13 and under. That is not to say that the remaining 4 out of 5 men are not attracted to children 13 and under, it's just that they have a preference for older children or adults. I wouldn't be surprised though if 50% of men turn out to be preferentially attracted to 16 year olds.

Fucking teens/children

Males are naturally attracted to young fertile females. Unfortunately laws & societal conditioning prevents males from having fun fucking very young females.



due to societal conditioning a many males(including me) are not comfortable fucking the 13 year old above.



The breasts of a female grows during pregnancy, by making her pregnant at an early age you will make her more sexually attractive. Her breasts might initially be smaller than ideal, the solution is to fuck raw in the pussy making her pregnant.

The emotional reaction

A lot of people react emotionally when it comes to children/teens having sex early, especially if they know the child. Parents are often overprotective of their kids and try to shield them from the world thinking it would be somehow good for them. The negative emotional reaction can only occur in people who find out about it, ignorance is a bliss.

For some reason people do not feel any bad for all the innocent males(and to a lesser extent females) being jailed due to the sex-hysteria.

The solution to this sex hysteria is not trying to cater to this phobia (it will only make it worse), people will simply need to get desensitized to it similar to how people get desensitized to 'bad' things happening in the world & stop caring.

Do we need to protect boys against homosexual pedophiles?

Males are less important biologically and thus boys getting abused is less of a concern, thus there is no need to have higher age limit on homosexual marriages.

If the boy is emotionally weak he might end up killing himself or generally failing in life, this may actually be a good thing.

When you have children you may find out that some of your boys doesn't really have a future (such as due to genetics) and you may want to stop wasting time and resources on them, it's better to focus on your more promising children.

You cannot just run from societal problems

In some cases you might be able to just go around societal problems and escape the consequences of bad political decisions but that is not a viable long term strategy. There isn't any good way to circumvent Age of Consent laws. No amount of privilege will fully protect you against psychiatry, John Nash experienced that first hand getting tortured by these quacks. Homeschooling is outright banned in many countries so you cannot escape a dysfunctional school system.

In the short term you can stay mostly safe from psychiatrists by not going to them but if too many people start doing that they will just threat more people against their will instead destroying their brains in the process [143](#) [144](#) [145](#) thus you dont only need to worry about being convicted after breaking some unjust law, you may also be locked up "for your own good" when in reality your brain is being destroyed by the treatment supposed to help you.

If societal issues are not fixed they will likely continue getting worse and you are likely to end up suffering from it the next life even you are able to escape the consequences this life. Thus some people will have to put effort into improving the situation, maybe you will be one of these heroues?

You suffer if other people have dysfunctional brains

Since we live in democracies (mostly) your life will heavily depend on other citizens having functional brains, thus you want other people to be born to be intelligent and not have their brains ruined by very harmful psychiatric substances or other questionable practices.

About countries you dont live in

You can get very affected by things that happen even outside your country, our world is connected. Millions of jews in europe where killed even though they didn't live in Germany because the nazis invaded their country.

Now we face global enfirontmental problems such as global warming and this will affect everyone.

Our civilization will fall

Its very unlikely we just happen to be alive now if humanity is going to colonize millions of planets in the future [146](#) [147](#) it's simply too difficult to colonize other planets [148](#)

When we look at society we see that things are slowly changing to the worse, we are making idiocracy reality. There are too many humans and we are destroying the planet trying to feed everyone. Politicians focus on getting re-elected instead of actually trying to solve serious issues.


It's simply too uncomfortable to deal with reality as it is and thus people will seek out ways to escape from reality. People are getting increasingly detached from the real world, the videogame an movie industry is constantly growing, games are getting increasingly immersive and companies are getting better at creating echo chambers so people don't have to deal with being told how they are wrong.

Instead of having an open discussion with everyone about how to solve issues people are being divided into factions (such as democrats and republicans) and eventually one of these factions will take over the entire system ending democracy as we know it, generally none of these factions are good and thus we can expect bad outcome no matter which side that wins, it would just be bad in different ways.

While it is still possible we will be able to establish something somewhat similar to humans on other planets it's unlikely that we will be able to spread out further than that. The most likely scenario is unfortunatily catastrophic collapse of our environment and also our civilization and since we have used up our fossil fuels it will be difficult to get a new civilization going again.

VINTOLOGI.COM

VINTOLOGI.SE



Columbus Provided Native Sex Slaves to His Men

In addition to putting the Natives to work as slaves in his gold mines, Columbus also sold sex slaves to his men—some as young as 9. Columbus and his men also raided villages for sex and sport.

In the year 1500, Columbus wrote: "A hundred castellanoes are as easily obtained for a woman as for a farm, and it is very general and there are plenty of dealers who go about looking for girls; those from nine to ten are now in demand."