

The authoritarian manifesto

When looking at old societies like the ancient roman empire you often find something drawing you there, there is something very appealing with these societies that we don't get in boring modern left-liberal societies.

With authoritarianism you don't need to wait months/years for courts to maybe stop something the president wanted to do. Under full authoritarianism there isn't any separations of powers in the first place, the government has the full highest authority over the area the hopefully growing territory they control.

people will worship their leaders as gods and follow them as they lead their nation to true greatness. People will be part of something greater than themselves.

With authoritarianism the people are accountable to the gods but gods and gods in turn are responsible to the higher process of [societal survival of the fittest](#).

The authority of the leaders will be absolute, they leaders will have the ultimate ownership over the ever expanding territory that will eventually eclipse the entire planet.

The leaders will ensure to carry out brutal punishments against the heretics that defile their godhood. People will all learn how great the leaders are from the schools and media controlled by the government.

The vast power of the ruling elite or autocrat will shape the entire society and eventually the entire world. Eventually only true gods will remain as false gods as brutally weeded out in conventional and nuclear wars.

Males will gladly go to war and often die to serve their gods. As they are fighting they know that what they are doing is serving humanity and eventually with total control over the planet wars will all end.

Great sexual experiences under authoritarianism

It's very common for people to fantasize sexually dominating others or being sexually dominated themselves.

Often people try things like BDSM in a futile attempt to get the joy or brutal sexual domination. This fake domination cannot however measure up to the real thing.



What we really want is real sexual domination. Females being groped and humiliated in public for being single/lesbian. Young girls forcefully impregnated, males forcefully injected with estrogen and turned into cute girls for people to humiliate and dominate.

Citizen classes

Humans will be divided into the following classes

A_n: senator of rank **n**

B_n: first successor to senator **n**

B_{mN+n}: successor **m+1** to senator **n**

C0: approved by the senate for high-level service.

C1: citizen allowed to carry light weapons.

C2: full citizenship.

C3: permanent residence.

C4: temporary residence (can be extended by paying fee).

D0: very high-value individuals under guardianship/custody (owned by senator or B-citizen).

D1: high-value slave/child (owned by C1 or higher).

D2: slave/child you are not allowed to injure (minor punishments allowed).

D3: slave not allowed to be killed or seriously injure (loss of limb, brain-damage, sterilized, etc).

D4: slave you are not allowed to kill or give serious brain-damage.

D5: slave with no rights.

E_m: less/no rights, class **m**

F_q: military target of value $\{F0 \text{ value}\} * 10^{(q/10)}$

N = the number of senators

$0 \leq n < N$

Governance


The A-citizens will decide which decisions they will prioritize. A lot of government decisions such as court cases will not actually reach any a-ziticens, it will instead be decided by a court where all the jury members are appointed by an a-citizen or by a single person appointed by an a-citizen.

You can for example have a 5 of 9 senators appoint a member of a lower court, and allow appeals to higher court, then of course only jury members will be allowed to appeal.

The people appointed by a senator will get nice B-citizenship.

If you have 15 senators or more you can sub-dicde the senate into smaller specialized bodies such as court0, court1, court2. You can for example divice 63 senators into 9 courts with 7 members each. Some cases will be appealed to the full senate but most will not reach that.

By default launching nuclear weapons will require a majority of the senate to approve of the strike but the threshould can be lowed for problematic areas/countries (allowing strikes to be launched slighly quicker).



<https://vintologi.com/threads/elite-rule.24/>

Fun punishments

There are many entertaining ways in which you can punish people you dislike. You can for example reduce them to property of perverts/sadists who will then take pleasure out of tormenting them.

People can be forced to participate in large team battles in the gladiator arena where the battle doesn't end until everyone of one team is dead.

You can feed people you dislike to lions and watch them scream as they are attacked and eaten. We can give them neck-protection to prevent them lion from quickly killing them.

People can also forced to work for the government such as by doing sex-work.

People can be given fines and if they then cannot pay them the consequences will be brutal. The money collected from fines can be used to finance entertainment for the masses such as gladiator games.

Maintaining order

It's very important to prevent rebellions/criminality. This is not just about people facing brutal consequences when committing severe crimes, it's also about things like education and control over media.

All important medial should be controlled by the government, not just traditional media but also social media.

Many people will need to be sent to re-education camps to they can be freed from their problematic delusions. There will be specialized re-education camps to tackle specific problematic false beliefs.

People will be expected to show respect for senators, otherwise there will be consequences.

We need to look into which if any hormonal alternatives that can be effective in preventing people from engaging in problematic behaviours. Estrogen and progesterone in particular are very interesting hormones for controlling people. We need to do randomized controlled trials on forced HRT so se if that actually causes people born male to behave better.

Keeping young males sexually satisfied

It is especially important to satisfy young males of your society sexually since otherwise you can face a lot of societal destabilization. Males with bad genetics should still be kept sexually satisfied even if they are prevented from passing on their genes.

Males under a certain age (such as 18) can be allowed to freely grope at least females who are not already taken by male. This can be females who are into lesbian relationships or females who simply stay single.

We can also have sex-workers who will satisfy males by sucking dick and swallowing. Trans females are especially useful for that since they cannot get pregnant in the first place. If the female is actually fertile and can get impregnated vaginal sex will need to be avoided.

At least females who are not already taken by male will also be forced to wear revealing sexy clothing. In order to be considered taken by a male you need to be the property of him (D-citizen) or be actively bred by him. Non-trans lesbians will need to date fertile trans lesbians to be considered taken.

Why a quick establishment of a world government is preferable

Having multiple competing societies will push governments to implement policies that harms humans and the planet in order to gain a competitive advantage over other societies.

Societies will be pushed to spend a lot of resources on their militaries resulting in millions/billions of people living in poverty while trillions of \$ are spent on military hardware and tens of millions of people work as soldiers, this is very much wasteful since people basically work against each other in a very wasteful arms race and very destructive wars.

A lot of people talk about how supposedly problematic CO2 emissions are while also failing to come up with policies that will effectively limit those emissions globally. Of course regardless of the impact on the environment those emissions will continue when there are multiple competing governments since renewable just aren't good enough.

Some governments might commit suicide by wrecking their economy while others just keep using fossil fuels to later conquer the societies that cared too much about the environment.

A perhaps bigger danger is artificial intelligence, the risk is machines emerging that end up killing all of humanity. Effectively mitigating that risk will likely require strict government control over technology (such as outright banning personal computers) and this will also set the society back a lot technologically, not something you can really do them in competition with other societies.

We can expect governments to be reckless developing AI killer weapons in an attempt to gain a military advantage. People getting killed from those robots going rogue is merely collateral damage.

By firmly having humans in charge we ensure the continued survival of humanity as a whole. Humans naturally care for humanity in a way other animals and robots simply do not.

<https://www.youtube.com/@RobertMilesAI>


Eugenics and genetic engineering

Evolution by natural selection is a brutal and ineffective process. Therefore it can be tempting to take shortcuts when it comes to breeding a more fit population, this however will often end very badly, often worse than no selection at all [0](#)

Hamilton's Rule & Altruism

Hamilton's rule

Altruism is favored by natural selection when:




This however does not mean eugenics cannot work, it just means we need to be patient and give it the time it needs. Rather than trying to micro-manage breeding like we tried with dogs (and generally failed) we need to give evolution the time it needs to naturally adapt humans making the society stronger. Slow gradual evolution has worked in the past and it can work again.

Societies that neglect their gene-pool will gradually weaken to the point where they fall and be conquered by societies that have a working eugenics policy, you making humanitarian excuses will not prevent your society from falling or your population to go extinct.

The reason humanity as a whole needs eugenics is that we cannot survive on earth forever, eventually the sun will become so hot it will fry earth and all life on earth will die, moving to mars (assuming that would even be sustainable at all) would just buy us time, we need to move to other star systems in order to continue our lineage and civilization.

There is of course a lot of different methods that can be used for eugenics, it does not have to be a policy that is officially justified with eugenics. One example of this is baby quotas supposedly implemented for raising the fertility rate but then it's individualized to specifically target privileged individuals (forcing them to have and raise more children). We can justify this by saying "privileged people need to do their fair share in increasing the fertility rate" and also "we shouldn't force poor people to have children" and also make exceptions for people with genetic disabilities.

If eugenics is generally viewed as something bad then governments will have to figure out ways to do eugenics without the masses realizing the real purpose is eugenics. A policy can officially have some other purpose such as "supporting parents" or "keep people safe from dangerous people".



It is worth noting that the massive growth in brain-size observed for humans prior to the agricultural revolution is very rare among animals. Intelligence is only being strongly selected for in special circumstances. Currently intelligence is not being selected for when it comes to humans due to less intelligent humans having more children on average [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#)

We do not actually need to use any genetic engineering for humans who are going to keep living on earth, humans are already decently adapted for earth so traditional breeding is enough. All we need to do is to select females most suited for reproducing and then forcing them to have over 10 children each on average.


Earth can be used to largely preserve humans in our current form with some minor improvements to eliminate diseases that are detrimental for quality of life and enhance the average intelligence.

Space colonization

We should engineer human-like animals to colonize other planets. This can be sending artificial wombs to nearby planets to start producing human-like animals there after we have sent seeds and other things there to start plant-life.

Most likely the life we establish on other planets (if we even succeed in that) will have a very hard time spreading further to other planets. Most likely the human-like life we manage to establish on other planets will fail to advance past primitive societies.

Humans will still likely be able to visit at least Mars but that will be a bit meaningless since Mars is a very ill-suited planet for life, it's very cold there and there is hardly any atmosphere.



Even fruitless space exploration projects can still be valuable for social control since it gives people something to work on together.

People can watch live and get excited when they see people on the space-station orbiting earth.

People can talk about their experience visiting Mars.

People can work on designing better spaceships to be able to reach planets further from earth.

People can work on designing better humans to survive in harsh environments on other planets.

About checks and balances to power

Many people mistakenly think that constitutional rights somehow ensured their rights but the reality is very different from that. Beautiful words on a piece of paper are just that, words on a paper, you holding up an old constitution will not stop the bullets coming your way, kevlar is better for that.

Some countries have powerful courts expected to uphold the constitution but there isn't actually any good reason for them to take that nonsense seriously, instead it's in their interest to 'interpret' the constitutions and laws in a way that just happens to align with their personal beliefs while they claim to be defenders of the constitution and people will support them for it.

Rather than limiting the power of government all you do by creating a strong court to empower that court to rule the country as they please while other branches of the government become weaker. All you can do is move the power around.

If the government isn't able to control media that control will instead land on private actors and these corporations will have their own special interests that does not align with the interests of the wider society.

Having different branches of government with different specializations will create multiple points of failure since then one branch stepping out of line could wreck the entire system if the branches have too much independence from each other.

Having uncertainty when it comes to who actually has the authority is also very bad when it comes to creating a functional government since then it's not clear who should make the decision. The president might make one decision viewing it as constitutional only to be blocked by courts 1 year later.

You want it to be clear to everyone who has the highest authority so everyone knows what they should actually follow and listen to.

Having different competing government factions can result in the total amount of control exercised exceeding the equilibrium resulting in a less effective and unstable society since every entity will naturally try to maximize their own power even if it comes at the expense of society at large.

The power of an entity can be limited by hard limit or by deterrence. For a hard limit to work when it comes to government decisions the time it takes for other individuals to intervene cannot be too long, only a few minutes in the case of nuclear war. You might have to be wakened up at night to make a difficult decision because the people awake didn't get to a majority.

Deterrents can often be evaded; there are many ways to cheat in elections, in wars you may be able to limit the damage that can be delivered in return if you decide to push the nuclear button, you can prevent citizens from effectively rebelling by gradually disarming them 'to keep them safe'.

If someone does a crime it will often be too late once the police show up and many people are willing to break the law to achieve a political goal. Brenton Tarrant killed 51 muslims knowing he would go to jail for it, Breivik killed 77 individuals and didn't even expect to survive.


If it's hard to convict people (such as requiring the jury to be unanimous for conviction) then the police and others will get away with a lot of unlawful execution since it only takes one jury member to retroactively approve the killing.

Hard limits on the power of certain leaders only change the power distribution, it doesn't limit the total power of the government. Allowing supreme court justices to be replaced/added by congress means congress has more power while the supreme court ends up with less power, the total power of the entities remains the same.

Let's say you have 2 individuals, one president and one vice president, the president makes the correct decision 80% of the time while the vice president makes the correct decision 70% of the time, in that case it's questionable if allowing the vice president to over-rule the president would even be a good thing at all. The opposite is true if the probabilities are exchanged, in that case the more the vice president is able to overrule the president the better (with caveats).

It's more complicated when you have different groups, in that case if one group is unanimous in their decision while the other group made their decision with a margin of just one vote it's very likely that the unanimous group is in the right.

There is no need for complex system for overruling an entity. If you want less power concentration you can just increase the number of A-citizens. If the number of A-citizens is increased then each individual A-citizen can vote incorrectly more often without an incorrect decision being taken.



You want the most accurate decision-making body to have a very dominant role so society can take full advantage of its superior decision-making ability.

Having a weak and unstable government might be a good thing if a good government (such as yourself) will take charge instead but that will very often not be the case, often the next is even worse (such as Joe Biden).

Unfortunately the ones with the ultimate power will be time-limited and thus they will end up having to rely on other people making good decisions for them. Thus even if the highest court always makes the correct decision we might still end up with a lot of bad results due to the highest court not having time to review all decisions made by lower courts.

Separations of power allow for more specialization but it also adds more points of failure, this will only be stable if all branches of government are accountable to the same entity with ultimate power, this specialization can also be achieved by relying on parties outside the official government. Trying to add separations of powers at the highest level will eventually result in one of the branches [going rouge](#).